

7-1976

Socioeconomic Index Scores for Metropolitan Connecticut, 1970

William H. Groff

University of Connecticut - Storrs

John N. Wright

University of Connecticut - Storrs

Follow this and additional works at: <https://opencommons.uconn.edu/saes>


 Part of the [Demography, Population, and Ecology Commons](#), [Economic History Commons](#), [Human Geography Commons](#), [Inequality and Stratification Commons](#), [Other Economics Commons](#), and the [Regional Sociology Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Groff, William H. and Wright, John N., "Socioeconomic Index Scores for Metropolitan Connecticut, 1970" (1976). *Storrs Agricultural Experiment Station*. 84.

<https://opencommons.uconn.edu/saes/84>

Socioeconomic Index Scores for Metropolitan Connecticut, 1970



*By William H. Groff and John N. Wright
Department of Agricultural Economics and Rural Sociology*

SOCIOECONOMIC INDEX SCORES
FOR METROPOLITAN CONNECTICUT, 1970

By William H. Groff and John N. Wright*

This report is one of a series of reports on the socioeconomic status of geographical units in Connecticut based upon data for the 1970 Census. Socioeconomic index scores are social indicators which can be used in the analysis of community characteristics and their trends and changes.

"Social indicators" is a general title which lends itself to multiple meanings. Most writers, however, generally agree that the term refers to the development of measurements for the evaluation of social change more objectively through a system of socioeconomic statistics. These statistics reflect existing social well-being and are indicators of existing social problems and can be used in the evaluation and development of social policies and programs.

Numerous studies by social scientists have demonstrated the correlation between measurements of socioeconomic status and various other social and economic phenomena. For example, socioeconomic status has been correlated with such varied phenomena as attitudes and values, political participation, physical and mental health, scholastic achievement and participation in community affairs.¹

Research in the area of Urban Sociology, Human Ecology and Geography has also demonstrated the relationship between the socioeconomic status of a geographic area and the social characteristics of its residents.² Areas whose residents differ in terms of average or overall measurements of their socioeconomic status also differ in regards to their general level of living and quality of life. Thus, the socioeconomic status of an area is an indicator of: (1) the basic processes of population change (births, deaths, and migration), and (2) various compositional features of the resident population such as labor force participation, household characteristics, physical and mental health, and other individual and group characteristics. Knowledge of these relationships can be utilized for the purpose of monitoring the needs of residents in the area and facilitating developmental and planning activities.

* Associate Professor and Graduate Assistant, Department of Agricultural Economics and Rural Sociology.
All graphic work done by John N. Wright.

The present report utilizes a methodology for the construction of socioeconomic index scores and the measurements of social rank for census tracts in Connecticut's Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas. Five additional reports utilizing this methodology based on data from the 1960 Census were published analyzing the relationships between social economic index scores and mortality, fertility, population mobility, residential segregation and cervical cancer.³ Two additional reports based on data from the 1970 Census providing index scores for Connecticut's towns and a measurement of residential segregation have been published.⁴

RESEARCH PERSPECTIVE

The socioeconomic index measurements described in this report were computed by a method similar to the procedures first used by Eshref Shevky and his associates in the development of their "social area analysis" approach to the study of modern urban society.⁵ The social area approach is based upon the assumption that the variations in selected social phenomena can be studied through the consideration of the distribution of the phenomena among contrasting types of areal units which were identified on the basis of various sets of criteria. Among the criteria used by Shevky and his associates was an index of social rank.⁶ This index was computed on the basis of the overall measurement of occupation, education and income status of the resident population of an area. Unit areas could then be combined and ranked according to their socioeconomic index scores with the rankings delineating social areas.

The basic areal units used in this analysis are the census tracts within Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas.⁷ Census tracts are relatively small geographical areas with homogeneous populations.⁸ All towns within Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas (SMSA) are tracted. The number of SMSA's in Connecticut increased from 8 in 1960 to 11 in 1970. The Danbury SMSA was identified on the basis of the 1970 Census enumeration and has not been tracted, thus, it is not included in this report.

METHODOLOGY

The rankings of the census tracts in the SMSA's of Connecticut were derived from a three step procedure which had been utilized in several previous reports. The three basic steps involved the following: (1) scores measuring occupation, education, and income levels of the population of each census tract were computed; (2) a standardized score was computed for each of these variables; and (3) these three standardized scores were combined into a single socioeconomic index score for each census tract. Further explanation of the methodological technique follows.

1. Crude Socioeconomic Scores - The official 1970 Census of the Population was used as the source of data for the three variables (occupation, education, and family income). The data were used to compute a crude score for each variable as follows:

Occupation: The percentage of employed persons who were working at blue-collar occupations (craftsmen, operators, or non-farm laborers).

Education: The percentage of population age 25 years and over who had completed less than eight years of school.

Income: The percentage of families having an income of less than \$4,000.

2. Standardized Socioeconomic Scores - Because the crude score for each variable is indicative of a substantively different level of socioeconomic status and because of the difficulty in comparing percentages in three different variables it is necessary to convert the percentage (crude) scores to percentile (standardized scores). The procedure for doing this is quite simple. Initially we assign scores between 0 and 100 to each census tract based on the tract's position relative to all other tracts in SMSA's, based on each of the three variables.

The formula for changing the crude percentage score into a standardized percentile score is:

$$S = X (R - \emptyset)$$

Where: S = the standardized score for any tract
R = the crude percentage score for any tract
 \emptyset = the lower limit of the crude percentage scores for all tracts
 $X = \frac{100}{\text{range of crude scores for all tracts.}}$

This procedure is performed for each tract on each of the three variables (occupation, education, and family income).

An illustration of the procedure may be helpful. Let us examine the variable:

Family Income. In the 1970 Census the proportion of families having an income of less than \$4,000 ranged from a low of 0 to a high of 45.6 percent, or:

$$\begin{aligned}\emptyset &= 0 \\ \text{Range} &= 45.6 - 0 = 45.6 \\ X &= \frac{100}{45.6} = 2.1930\end{aligned}$$

$X = 2.1930$ becomes a constant multiplier for the variable Family Income. For each census tract we multiply $(R - \emptyset)$ by 2.1930 to determine that tract's standardized family income score. A similar calculation is made for occupation and education scores for each tract.

The socioeconomic variables are actually inversely related to socioeconomic status. In other words, because we are using percentage in blue-collar occupations, percentage below eighth grade education, and percentage below \$4,000 family income the tracts with higher proportions

of persons in these categories will rank higher in our socioeconomic list than tracts with smaller proportions of persons in these categories. Logically it appears more sound to have a scale in which a high score is equated with a high status. We simply invert the scores by subtracting each score from 100.0. After inverting, the standardized scores are added and divided by 3 (the number of variables) to yield an overall socioeconomic index score.

To illustrate: The standardized scores for occupation, education, and family income for tract number 711 in Bridgeport were 34.6, 70.7 and 72.0 respectively. The standardized score and social rank score for tract 711 was then computed as follows:

$$\begin{array}{lcl}
 \text{Occupation:} & 100.0 - 65.4 = & 34.6 \\
 \text{Education:} & 100.0 - 29.3 = & 70.7 \\
 \text{Family Income:} & 100.0 - 28.0 = & 72.0 \\
 \text{Social Rank Score:} & \frac{34.6 + 70.7 + 72.0}{3} = \frac{177.3}{3} = & 59.1
 \end{array}$$

This procedure was followed for each census tract in the ten major Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas in the state. The scores derived are shown in Table One.

3. Social Rank Areas - Social rank areas within the Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas were then identified based upon the similarity in social rank scores for the census tracts. These social rank areas were arrayed according to the procedures used by Stockwell and Nagi in their report cited earlier for comparative purposes.⁹ The five groups, the range of their social rank scores, and the number of census tracts in each are as follows:

Social Rank Area		Range of Social Rank Scores	Number of Census Tracts	
			1970	1960
High	I	80.0 or more	137	22
	II	70.0 - 79.9	210	38
	III	50.0 - 69.9	229	85
	IV	40.0 - 49.9	41	36
Low	V	0.0 - 39.9	14	24
Total Tracts =			631	205

The various social rank areas are identified for each of the ten major SMSA's in Connecticut in the accompanying figures. Both Table One and the accompanying figures are arrayed according to SMSA's, towns within the SMSA's and census tracts within these towns. It should be noted that separate figures are included in this report for those towns in which the name is inserted within the boundaries of the town. For example, on the map for Bridgeport SMSA (Figure One), the names of the towns of Fairfield and Bridgeport are inserted within the town boundaries. The social rank areas for these towns are delineated in Figure Two. The numerical identifications of the census tracts shown on the accompanying figures can be found in the corresponding census reports published by the U.S. Department of Commerce.¹⁰

COMPARISONS OF 1970 AND 1960 SOCIAL RANK AREAS

Although the primary purpose of this report is to identify the social rank areas for metropolitan Connecticut in 1970, we also originally planned to compare the areas in 1970 with the social rank areas for 1960. However, these comparisons can only be made on a very general basis because the 1970 data and the 1960 data are not directly comparable. Since census tracts by definition are supposed to reflect small, relatively homogeneous geographical areas, the Census Bureau re-defined tract boundaries in 1970 to reflect changes in population distribution over the decade. In addition to redefining census tract boundaries, Bristol was defined as an SMSA and tracted prior to the 1970 Census and Danbury was identified as an SMSA based on 1970 census data but was not tracted.

Socioeconomic change during the decade, 1960 to 1970, is also a factor which must be taken into consideration. In order to facilitate some comparisons, we utilized the same arraying procedures for social rank areas used by Stockwell and Nagi in their report with the exception of family income. To reflect increases in income and the inflation which occurred during the decade, we utilized a family income level of \$4,000 rather than the \$3,000 level used in the earlier report. The \$4,000 used in this report also approximates the cut-off point for poverty established by federal agencies.

A glance at the above tabulations of social rank areas clearly shows that the distribution of social ranking for 1970 differs significantly from the similar rankings in 1960. The 1960 rankings closely approximate a normal distribution, while the 1970 rankings are heavily skewed toward the higher rank areas. Several factors provide a tentative explanation for the changing distribution of census tracts.

The 1960 study included only 205 tracts located in the central city of Connecticut's major metropolitan areas. In the present study we expanded our analysis to include all tracted areas in the state. The 631 census tracts in our study reflect the addition of new SMSA's and the inclusion of additional suburban towns in existing SMSA's as a result of changes in the boundaries of SMSA's by the Census Bureau.¹¹ The additional tracts, added as a consequence of these changes in the analysis, are all grouped in the highest three social rank areas. It should be noted that none of the census tracts in Bristol are in the lower two rankings and only a small proportion of the tracts in the two additional SMSA's covered in this report are grouped in social ranks four and five. The use of the same range of social rank scores for grouping tracts into social rank areas in 1970 as those used in 1960 contributed to the skewed distribution.

The inclusion of these additional census tracts may also have contributed to a slight decline in the relative position of some tracts located in the central cities of SMSA's when compared to 1960. On the other hand, any improvement in the social rank of any of the central cities can be attributed to an improvement in the relative socioeconomic status of the area. For example, tract 5040 in the city of Hartford was grouped in social rank area I in 1960 and social rank area II in 1970. This decline is probably a result of expanded geographical areas

used in the present analysis. On the other hand, tracts 5016, 5020, 5021 and 5032 located in downtown Hartford, were grouped in higher social rank areas in 1970 than in 1960 with tract 5021 moving from social rank area III in 1960 to social rank area I in 1970. These changes are probably a consequence of improved relative socioeconomic status in the areas which may be related to a number of factors including urban renewal projects.

Since these comparisons are difficult and should only be made with extreme caution we have no comparative information in this report. As we noted earlier, those interested in making such comparisons can refer to the report by Stockwell and Nagi and to the relevant census tract reports for their area.

DISCUSSION

Although abundant evidence exists in the literature of sociology and human ecology which demonstrates a definite relationship between spatial location and socioeconomic position, a note of caution is in order. Areal analysis such as this analysis are based upon summary measurements and the assumption that homogeneity exists within census tracts. The use of summary measurements may hide the importance of the range of differences that exist within an area. Tracts also may vary significantly in their characteristics, despite the homogeneity implied in the definition and some tracts may be atypical. For example, tract 1417 in New Haven reflects the presence of Yale University. The relatively low income rank compared to the high rankings for occupation and education reflect the academic characteristics of the area. It should also be noted that there is considerable variation in the population size of census tracts.

Some individuals may wish to regroup the census tracts into different rank areas in order to obtain a more normal distribution of the census tracts. This is a relatively simple task which might prove useful in the analysis of the relationship between social rank areas and other social-economic phenomena.

Appendix A contains an alphabetical listing of the SMSA's in Connecticut and the towns contained in each SMSA and the adjacent area. The letter following selected towns are legend keys to be used in connection with the various figures contained in this report.

FOOTNOTES

1. For examples, see Bendix, Richard and Seymour Martin Lipset, eds., Class, Status and Power: Social Stratification in Comparative Perspective, (New York: The Free Press), 1966; Edward G. Stockwell and G. A. Shea, Socioeconomic Index Scores for Connecticut, Storrs AES Research Report No. 1, (December 1964); and E. G. Stockwell and M. H. Nagi, The Social Areas of Metropolitan Connecticut, Storrs AES Bulletin No. 404 (March 1968).
2. A highly selective list of relevant publications would include: W. S. Thompson, "Some Factors Influencing the Ratio of Children to Women in American Cities," American Journal of Sociology (September 1939); R. E. L. Faris and H. Dunham, Mental Disorders in Urban Areas (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1939); C. R. Shaw and H. McKay, Juvenile Delinquency and Urban Areas (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1942); P. K. Hatt, "The Relation of Ecological Location to Status Position and Housing of Ethnic Minorities", American Sociological Review (August 1945); C. F. Schmid, "Generalizations Concerning the Ecology of the American City," American Sociological Review (April 1950); A. Potterfield, "Suicide and Crime in the Social Structure of an Urban Setting," American Sociological Review, (June 1952); O. D. Duncan and B. Duncan, "Residential Distribution and Occupational Stratification," American Journal of Sociology (March 1955); C. F. Schmid, E. H. MacCannell, and M. D. VanArsdol, Jr., "The Ecology of the American City: Further Comparison and Validation of Generalizations," American Sociological Review (August 1958); S. Goldstein and K. B. Mayer, The Ecology of Providence (Providence: Brown University, 1958); "Interrelationships Between Social and Demographic Processes in an American City," Transactions of the International Population Conference in Vienna (Vienna 1959); and "Population Decline and the Social and Demographic Structure of an American City," American Sociological Review (February 1964).
3. E. G. Stockwell, "Use of Socioeconomic Status as a Demographic Variable," Public Health Reports, 81:11 (November 1966), pp. 961-966; E. G. Stockwell and M. H. Nagi, The Social Areas of Metropolitan Connecticut, op.cit.; E. G. Stockwell and T. H. Pitt, Residential Segregation in Metropolitan Connecticut, Storrs AES Bulletin No. 410 (January 1969); W. H. Groff and T. H. Pitt and Barbara Christine, Cervical Cancer and Social Rank in Metropolitan Connecticut, Storrs AES Research Report 34 (May 1971) and William H. Groff, et.al., "The Relationship of Incidence of Cervical Cancer and Socioeconomic Status in Seven Cities, 1959-1964," Connecticut Medicine, (February 1972), pp. 80-83.
4. William H. Groff and John N. Wright, Socioeconomic Index Scores for Connecticut Towns, 1970. Storrs AES Bulletin No. 442 (January 1976); Kenneth Hadden and Thomas Werling, Residential Segregation in Metropolitan Connecticut, Storrs AES Bulletin 434 (February 1975).
5. Eshref Shevky and Marilyn Williams, The Social Areas of Los Angeles: Analysis and Typology, (Los Angeles: University of California Press, 1949), and Eshref Shevky and Wendell Bell, Social Area Analysis: Theory, Illustrative Application and Computation (Stamford: Stamford University Press, 1955).
6. Shevky and Williams, op.cit., page 37.

7. Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas are defined as a county (town in New England) or group of contiguous counties which contain at least one city of 50,000 inhabitants or more, or "twin cities" with a combined population of at least 50,000. Contiguous counties (towns) are included if they meet a specified criteria. Number of Inhabitants: U.S. Summary, PC1-A, U.S. Department of Commerce, page XIII (December 1971). The 1970 Census also identified adjacent areas (towns) which have similar characteristics to areas within the SMSA's. These towns are included in this report and identified in Appendix A.
8. Census tracts are small areas into which large cities and adjacent areas have been divided for statistical purposes. They are designed to be relatively uniform with respect to population characteristics, economic status, and living conditions. For a discussion of census tracts, see Appendix A, Census Tracts, Bridgeport Connecticut Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area, U.S. Department of Commerce Publication PHC(1)-30.
9. Stockwell and Nagi, op.cit.
10. See U.S. Department of Commerce Publications, PHC(1)-30, 31, 87, 128, 141, 143, 149, 206 and 227, respectively.
11. It should be noted that census tracts in adjacent areas are also included.




FIGURE I




FIGURE 2

HIGH SOCIAL RANK

BRISTOL

SMSA



LOW SOCIAL RANK





FIGURE 3



SOCIAL RANK

HIGH I	II	III	IV	V	LOW

(See Appendix A for list of towns and legend)




FIGURE 5

I II III IV V
HIGH SOCIAL RANK LOW SOCIAL RANK






FIGURE 6



MERIDEN SMSA

HIGH SOCIAL RANK

I II III IV V

LOW SOCIAL RANK





FIGURE 8

NEW BRITAIN SMSA

HIGH SOCIAL RANK

I 

II 

III 

IV 

V 

LOW SOCIAL RANK




FIGURE 9

NEW BRITAIN

HIGH SOCIAL RANK



LOW SOCIAL RANK




FIGURE 10

NEW HAVEN SMSA

HIGH SOCIAL RANK

- A ORANGE
- B WOODBRIDGE
- C BETHANY
- D HAMDEN
- E NORTH HAVEN
- F NORTH BRANFORD
- G GUILFORD
- H MADISON
- I BRANFORD
- J EAST HAVEN

I 

II 

III 

IV 

V 

LOW SOCIAL RANK




FIGURE II





FIGURE 12

HIGH SOCIAL RANK

I 

II 

III 

IV 

V 

LOW SOCIAL RANK

WALLINGFORD




FIGURE 13

NORWALK SMSA





FIGURE 14


NORWALK

HIGH SOCIAL RANK

I



II



III



IV



V



LOW SOCIAL RANK






FIGURE 15

NEW LONDON-GROTON-NORWICH SMSA

(See Appendix A for list of towns)





NORWICH




FIGURE 18

NORWICH

STAMFORD SMSA




FIGURE 19





FIGURE 20

WATERBURY

SMSA

- A OXFORD
- B SOUTHBURY
- C WOODBURY
- D BETHLEHEM
- E WATERTOWN
- F THOMASTON
- G MIDDLEBURY
- H WOLCOTT
- I CHESHIRE
- J PROSPECT
- K NAUGATUCK
- L BEACON FALLS

HIGH SOCIAL RANK

I 

II 

III 

IV 

V 

LOW SOCIAL RANK





FIGURE 211



WATERBURY




FIGURE 22

APPENDIX A

Towns in Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas in Connecticut, 1970

<u>Bridgeport SMSA</u>	<u>Hartford SMSA (con't)</u>	<u>New London-Groton Norwich SMSA (con't)</u>
Bridgeport	South Windsor (N)	Lisbon (*) (I)
Easton (*)	Suffield (I)	Montville (O)
Fairfield	Tolland (*) (M) (Adj)	New London
Milford	Vernon (*) (O)	No. Stonington (*) (L) (Adj)
Monroe	West Hartford	Norwich
Shelton	Wethersfield	Old Lyme (*) (C)
Stratford	Windsor Locks (J)	Preston (P)
Trumbull	Windsor (H)	Salem (*) (D) (Adj)
		Sprague (*) (H)
		Stonington (M)
		Voluntown (*) (K) (Adj)
		Waterford (A)
<u>Bristol SMSA (**)</u>	<u>Meriden SMSA</u>	
Bristol	Meriden	
Burlington (Adj)		
Plymouth (*)		
	<u>New Britain SMSA</u>	
	Berlin	<u>Norwalk SMSA</u>
	New Britain	Norwalk
	Plainville	Weston (*) (Adj)
	Southington	Westport
		Wilton
	<u>New Haven SMSA</u>	
	Bethany (*)	<u>Stamford SMSA</u>
	Branford	Darien
	East Haven	Greenwich
	Guilford	New Canaan
	Hamden	Stamford
	Madison (*) (Adj)	
	New Haven	<u>Waterbury SMSA</u>
	North Branford (*)	Beacon Falls
	North Haven	Bethlehem (*) (Adj)
	Orange	Cheshire
	Wallingford (Adj)	Middlebury
	West Haven	Naugatuck
	Woodbridge	Oxford (*) (Adj)
		Prospect
	<u>New London-Groton- Norwich SMSA</u>	Southbury (*) (Adj)
	Bozrah (*) (F) (Adj)	Thomaston
	Colchester (*) (E) (Adj)	Waterbury
	East Lyme (B)	Watertown
	Franklin (*) (Q) (Adj)	Wolcott
	Griswold (*) (J)	Woodbury (*)
	Groton	
	Ledyard (N)	

(*) Town added to SMSA in 1970.

(**) New SMSA in 1970.

(***) Not tracted as of 1970.

(Adj) Adjacent areas.

TABLE 1.

BRIDGEPORT SMSA
Modified Standardized Scores

City or Town	Tract No.	Occupation	Education	Income	Social Rank Score	Social Rank Area
Fairfield	601	64.0	90.5	97.3	83.9	I
	602	67.7	86.5	95.7	83.3	I
	603	70.5	95.3	92.2	86.0	I
	604	83.7	95.0	92.4	90.4	I
	605	67.3	93.4	95.6	85.4	I
	606	54.5	82.0	96.2	77.6	II
	607	70.6	91.3	91.1	84.4	I
	608	58.0	89.6	90.3	79.3	II
	609	62.7	91.9	94.9	83.1	I
	610	45.5	86.7	93.8	75.4	II
	611	71.4	89.1	93.8	84.8	I
	612	39.5	65.9	88.0	64.4	III
	613	37.7	74.6	84.1	65.5	III
	614	31.1	69.4	77.6	59.4	III
	615	59.6	89.4	77.9	75.6	II
	616	55.8	79.2	92.7	75.9	II
Bridgeport	701	48.6	75.1	83.5	69.0	III
	702	24.6	68.3	81.2	58.0	III
	703	52.5	49.2	52.3	51.3	III
	704	76.1	79.0	74.9	76.7	II
	704	4.9	0.0	100.0	35.0	V
	705	19.2	43.0	39.5	33.9	V
	706	25.9	53.5	63.2	47.6	IV
	707	15.5	57.1	100.0	57.5	III
	708	53.2	60.5	76.3	63.4	III
	709	12.3	46.7	74.7	44.6	IV
	710	31.4	50.9	76.7	53.0	III
	711	34.6	70.7	72.0	59.1	III
	712	33.0	65.1	75.2	57.8	III
	713	41.9	58.3	68.0	56.0	III
	714	20.4	40.2	72.3	44.3	IV
	715	83.3	52.7	100.0	82.0	I
	716	29.0	44.6	22.7	32.1	V
	717	14.3	42.1	70.6	42.3	IV
	718	43.9	68.9	77.2	63.3	III
	719	33.8	63.2	79.3	58.8	III
	720	46.7	70.6	72.7	63.4	III
	721	66.6	77.7	76.3	73.5	II
	722	45.5	71.8	84.0	67.1	III
	723	51.7	71.8	83.6	69.0	III
	724	52.6	68.7	75.9	65.7	III
	725	42.2	74.3	83.3	66.6	III
	726	53.9	85.3	95.6	78.2	II
	727	48.9	76.5	93.4	72.9	II
	728	29.4	74.8	71.1	58.4	III
	729	24.7	67.1	92.9	61.5	III
	730	39.0	70.2	89.6	66.3	III

TABLE 1. (Continued)

BRIDGEPORT SMSA
Modified Standardized Scores (Continued)

City or Town	Tract No.	Occupation	Education	Income	Social Rank Score	Social Rank Area
Bridgeport (Cont.)	731	45.2	73.2	79.4	65.9	III
	732	16.9	66.0	74.0	52.3	III
	733	40.7	67.1	74.1	60.7	III
	734	41.5	73.8	84.8	66.7	III
	735	39.0	64.3	68.5	57.2	III
	736	26.6	57.4	61.4	48.5	IV
	737	39.6	66.0	77.4	61.0	III
	738	37.1	45.6	69.1	50.6	III
	739	38.4	51.8	58.1	49.4	IV
	740	8.3	31.5	94.3	44.7	IV
	741	0.0	53.0	68.8	40.6	IV
	742	26.7	46.7	0.0	24.5	V
	743	32.6	55.8	63.6	50.7	III
	744	42.8	53.0	78.4	58.0	III
Stratford	801	29.9	64.7	80.7	58.5	III
	802	33.8	69.4	80.4	61.2	III
	803	20.0	66.5	96.7	61.1	III
	804	28.6	68.9	82.6	60.0	III
	805	49.1	91.3	92.9	77.8	II
	806	48.7	85.5	90.4	74.9	II
	807	56.3	84.4	95.9	78.9	II
	808	47.3	85.8	90.9	74.7	II
	809	31.4	79.5	85.3	65.4	III
	810	27.9	78.7	89.8	65.5	III
	811	49.4	87.9	94.0	77.1	II
	812	49.9	85.8	89.7	75.1	II
	813	49.9	77.0	88.8	71.9	II
Trumbull	901	68.0	94.7	92.7	85.2	I
	902	55.1	82.8	96.2	78.0	II
	903	57.4	84.7	90.2	77.4	II
	904	54.7	84.5	93.1	77.4	II
	905	52.2	85.3	93.4	77.0	II
	906	54.9	92.8	91.6	79.8	II
	907	60.2	88.6	95.6	81.5	I
Monroe	1001	50.4	87.1	95.0	77.5	II
	1002	48.1	93.1	93.3	78.1	II
	1003	36.7	89.6	85.4	70.6	II
Easton	1051	77.8	89.7	89.7	85.7	I
	1052	45.7	84.5	87.1	72.4	II
Shelton	1101	25.6	60.1	72.9	52.9	III
	1102	33.6	78.5	89.5	67.2	III
	1103	37.1	75.9	88.4	67.1	III
	1104	40.3	90.9	94.1	75.1	II
	1105	48.9	94.6	92.8	78.8	II
	1106	28.9	75.0	80.9	61.6	III

TABLE 1. (Continued)

BRIDGEPORT SMSA
Modified Standardized Scores (Continued)

City or Town	Tract No.	Occupation	Education	Income	Social Rank Score	Social Rank Area
Milford	1501	50.2	35.1	84.1	73.1	II
	1502	41.5	87.9	83.3	70.9	II
	1503	31.9	81.1	86.7	66.6	III
	1504	31.5	80.7	78.1	63.4	III
	1505	46.1	81.7	86.1	71.3	II
	1506	35.7	90.8	91.2	72.6	II
	1507	54.7	91.4	86.8	77.7	II
	1508	47.8	86.3	89.1	74.4	II
	1509	52.9	90.9	93.7	79.2	II
	1510	42.0	87.0	90.5	73.2	II
	1511	40.4	88.8	88.5	72.6	II
	1512	53.1	87.9	96.0	79.0	II

BRISTOL SMSA
Modified Standardized Scores

Bristol	4051	48.1	87.3	88.3	74.6	II
	4052	24.7	74.5	90.2	63.1	III
	4053	33.5	81.2	91.5	68.7	III
	4054	26.6	72.6	85.1	61.4	III
	4055	29.9	69.4	79.8	59.7	III
	4056	15.5	60.9	87.9	54.9	III
	4057	27.8	63.0	78.0	56.3	III
	4058	29.1	76.4	84.3	63.3	III
	4059	42.0	77.6	87.2	68.9	III
	4060	44.6	81.1	89.7	71.8	II
Burlington	4101	36.1	89.4	82.3	69.3	III
Plymouth	4251	17.7	77.2	81.1	58.7	III
	4252	14.8	66.1	88.0	56.3	III

HARTFORD SMSA
Modified Standardized Scores

Farmington	4601	66.3	88.3	92.6	82.4	I
	4602	67.9	86.5	87.9	80.8	I
	4603	52.3	88.9	90.6	77.3	II
Avon	4621	68.5	90.7	91.4	83.5	I
	4622	63.0	85.9	90.6	79.8	II
Canton	4641	55.7	94.1	87.2	79.0	II
Simsbury	4661	79.8	93.5	94.7	89.3	I
	4662	80.8	95.3	98.5	91.5	I
	4663	77.2	95.4	89.2	87.3	I
	4664	64.9	88.7	94.6	82.7	I

TABLE 1. (Continued)

HARTFORD SMSA
Modified Standardized Scores (Continued)

City or Town	Tract No.	Occupation	Education	Income	Social Rank Score	Social Rank Area
Newington	4941	55.1	85.1	95.7	78.6	II
	4942	59.6	90.9	97.1	82.5	I
	4943	52.9	83.6	89.0	75.2	II
	4944	64.3	84.9	89.4	79.5	II
	4945	61.5	81.4	91.2	78.1	II
	4946	50.5	85.2	90.0	78.6	II
West Hartford	4961	47.8	77.2	83.1	69.4	III
	4962	64.8	81.4	89.2	78.4	II
	4963	68.7	91.4	93.5	84.5	I
	4964	79.3	90.3	93.3	87.6	I
	4965	90.4	97.5	90.1	92.7	I
	4966	81.4	98.3	96.6	92.1	I
	4967	55.7	77.3	84.5	72.5	II
	4968	57.9	81.5	92.6	77.4	II
	4969	67.8	79.9	77.3	75.0	II
	4970	86.2	92.3	91.2	89.9	I
	4971	82.5	88.2	84.0	84.9	I
	4972	93.7	86.0	100.0	93.2	I
	4973	87.3	93.8	95.5	92.2	I
	4974	92.6	91.3	90.9	91.6	I
	4975	87.4	94.2	95.6	92.4	I
	4976	82.1	91.7	87.8	87.2	I
	4977	57.5	95.4	95.9	96.3	I
Hartford	5001	42.4	37.6	66.1	48.7	IV
	5002	45.9	53.7	73.2	57.6	III
	5003	61.0	48.7	34.5	48.1	IV
	5004	66.1	50.3	56.6	57.7	III
	5005	43.2	35.5	30.9	36.6	V
	5006	7.8	13.8	100.0	40.5	IV
	5008	46.9	35.2	19.7	33.9	V
	5009	56.3	35.4	19.4	37.0	V
	5010	64.7	59.7	11.7	45.3	IV
	5011	48.3	69.1	93.8	70.4	II
	5012	60.7	45.7	52.8	53.1	III
	5013	80.9	63.6	31.9	58.8	III
	5014	67.9	58.2	54.7	60.3	III
	5015	72.9	52.8	57.3	61.0	III
	5016	37.1	78.4	60.9	58.8	III
	5017	78.4	33.6	18.2	43.4	IV
	5018	74.7	47.7	32.8	51.7	III
	5019	27.9	56.8	72.2	52.3	III
	5020	69.7	70.9	48.9	63.2	III
	5021	63.3	87.5	100.0	83.6	I
	5022	69.4	33.2	78.0	76.9	II
	5023	37.9	59.3	87.4	61.5	III
	5024	35.7	48.1	80.3	54.7	III
	5025	40.0	52.7	80.3	57.7	III
	5026	53.5	63.7	83.6	66.9	III

TABLE 1. (Continued)

HARTFORD SMSA
Modified Standardized Scores (Continued)

City or Town	Tract No.	Occupation	Education	Income	Social Rank Score	Social Rank Area
Granby	4681	59.2	91.4	93.7	81.4	I
East Granby	4701	56.9	90.8	92.0	79.9	II
Bloomfield	4711	55.8	78.8	83.3	72.6	II
	4712	57.3	79.7	89.0	75.3	II
	4713	80.9	92.9	88.0	87.2	I
	4714	75.5	96.4	95.9	89.2	I
	4715	53.4	67.3	86.9	69.2	III
Windsor	4731	59.3	83.9	89.6	77.6	II
	4732	57.7	75.6	86.0	73.1	II
	4733	67.6	87.8	91.3	82.2	I
	4734	65.9	88.0	89.5	81.1	I
	4735	44.2	78.5	94.7	72.5	II
	4736	63.3	85.7	89.2	79.4	II
Windsor Locks	4761	43.8	71.0	87.9	67.6	III
	4762	32.3	81.4	89.3	67.7	III
	4763	48.6	89.8	92.3	76.9	II
Suffield	4771	54.4	85.3	89.0	76.2	II
	4772	52.3	89.3	98.0	79.9	II
Enfield	4801	100.0	75.1	100.0	91.7	I
	4802	37.4	87.7	95.6	73.6	II
	4803	31.6	83.6	94.9	70.0	II
	4804	40.2	90.3	96.0	75.5	II
	4805	33.1	72.8	88.0	64.6	III
	4806	27.0	55.4	70.5	51.0	III
	4807	57.8	81.0	78.9	72.5	II
	4808	49.1	86.4	84.3	73.3	II
	4809	46.5	88.3	96.1	77.0	II
	4810	49.1	90.9	98.7	79.6	II
	4811	49.0	92.1	95.8	79.0	II
	4812	46.7	92.2	87.0	75.3	II
	4813	30.8	85.9	95.4	70.7	II
East Windsor	4841	26.7	80.2	87.3	64.8	III
	4842	44.6	85.4	82.8	71.0	II
South Windsor	4871	39.4	88.5	92.6	73.5	II
	4872	67.7	91.8	95.0	84.8	I
	4873	43.1	80.5	86.2	69.9	III
	4874	46.4	86.8	95.1	76.1	II
	4875	49.3	85.6	86.6	73.8	II
Rocky Hill	4901	62.1	88.6	89.8	80.2	I
	4902	89.3	24.4	100.0	71.3	II
	4903	59.8	82.2	90.0	77.3	II
Wethersfield	4921	71.5	88.4	84.4	81.4	I
	4922	67.6	89.1	89.9	82.2	I
	4923	59.4	79.1	86.6	75.0	II
	4924	69.5	90.2	91.7	83.8	I
	4925	69.1	85.9	95.9	83.6	I
	4926	73.9	88.8	98.5	87.1	I

TABLE 1. (Continued)

HARTFORD SMSA
Modified Standardized Scores (Continued)

City or Town	Tract No.	Occupation	Education	Income	Social Rank Score	Social Rank Area
Hartford (Cont.)	5027	60.0	66.4	79.3	68.6	III
	5028	18.2	28.2	57.3	34.6	V
	5029	39.5	39.7	77.8	52.3	III
	5030	26.2	31.3	59.1	38.9	V
	5031	82.8	78.9	72.0	77.9	II
	5032	80.4	90.4	79.7	83.5	I
	5033	78.1	81.7	71.8	77.2	II
	5034	64.0	73.8	77.1	71.6	II
	5035	49.7	56.9	56.2	54.2	III
	5036	81.2	87.1	78.6	82.3	I
	5037	67.6	57.3	65.0	63.3	III
	5038	70.8	80.0	55.2	68.7	III
	5039	59.4	79.6	84.7	74.6	II
	5040	70.0	75.7	83.0	76.2	II
	5041	25.1	54.3	74.8	51.4	III
	5042	71.7	77.3	75.2	74.8	II
	5043	30.5	53.4	78.2	54.1	III
	5044	61.9	75.0	80.2	72.4	II
	5045	53.4	70.5	80.1	68.0	III
	5046	14.8	30.0	0.5	15.1	V
	5047	42.5	58.1	100.0	66.9	III
	5048	48.0	70.7	93.2	70.6	II
	5049	28.6	61.2	73.3	54.4	III
East Hartford	5101	49.4	77.3	76.9	67.8	III
	5102	42.9	67.3	77.8	62.7	III
	5103	45.8	76.9	80.2	67.6	III
	5104	51.0	78.8	78.1	69.3	III
	5105	73.3	82.8	93.8	83.3	I
	5106	43.7	78.1	85.4	69.1	III
	5107	44.2	80.3	85.4	70.0	III
	5108	37.7	80.9	85.6	68.1	III
	5109	57.6	90.6	94.5	80.9	I
	5110	51.6	88.3	91.2	77.0	II
	5111	58.2	89.4	93.4	80.3	I
	5112	39.2	84.2	86.9	70.1	II
	5113	49.9	78.3	86.1	71.5	II
	5114	51.6	80.1	100.0	77.2	II
	5141	59.7	87.2	88.2	78.4	II
Manchester	5142	42.1	75.8	90.6	69.5	III
	5143	61.5	88.6	89.4	79.9	II
	5144	46.6	83.8	84.9	71.8	II
	5145	53.9	86.0	93.0	77.6	II
	5146	49.1	82.9	81.1	71.1	II
	5147	37.6	70.0	65.7	57.8	III
	5148	49.4	76.2	78.6	68.1	III
	5149	71.8	91.2	93.9	85.6	I
	5150	70.3	92.4	91.1	84.6	I
	5151	58.4	81.2	95.7	78.4	II
	5152	57.7	96.8	96.0	83.5	I

TABLE 1. (Continued)

HARTFORD SMSA
Modified Standardized Scores (Continued)

City or Town	Tract No.	Occupation	Education	Income	Social Rank Score	Social Rank Area
Glastonbury	5201	61.4	83.6	89.5	78.1	II
	5202	65.9	90.7	94.0	83.5	I
	5203	59.6	82.9	92.5	78.3	II
	5204	77.1	96.4	92.2	88.6	I
	5205	72.1	86.0	91.1	83.1	I
Marlborough	5241	46.8	94.5	91.0	77.4	II
	5261	44.1	90.4	89.5	74.7	II
Hebron	5281	56.7	91.1	90.9	79.6	II
Andover	5291	48.5	99.7	87.6	75.3	II
Bolton	5301	42.9	71.6	71.1	61.9	III
Vernon	5302	28.2	74.5	76.9	59.9	III
	5303	55.8	86.7	87.3	76.6	II
	5304	63.1	88.7	92.9	81.6	I
	5305	46.2	92.8	86.5	75.1	II
	5306	46.6	94.7	95.5	78.9	II
Tolland	5331	39.7	89.1	86.7	71.5	II
Ellington	5351	55.7	91.7	96.8	81.4	I
	5352	35.0	78.1	84.8	65.9	III
Cromwell	5701	45.5	83.3	90.5	73.1	II
	5702	48.0	88.8	94.0	77.0	II
	5703	47.2	90.1	76.7	68.0	III
Coventry	8501	46.4	92.0	92.9	77.1	II
	8502	38.8	91.7	74.7	65.1	III

MERIDEN SMSA
Modified Standardized Scores

Meriden	1701	19.3	65.1	61.0	48.5	IV
	1702	18.8	51.8	68.9	46.5	IV
	1703	19.1	42.7	69.4	43.7	IV
	1704	32.6	74.8	81.7	63.0	III
	1705	43.9	84.7	95.3	74.7	II
	1706	48.6	80.1	83.6	70.8	II
	1707	42.7	76.8	79.0	66.2	III
	1708	28.7	74.7	89.1	64.2	III
	1709	31.3	69.2	83.0	61.2	III
	1710	40.5	57.6	76.2	58.1	III
	1711	39.4	78.7	90.6	69.6	III
	1712	46.1	88.3	91.9	75.5	II
	1713	38.6	81.2	85.3	68.4	III
	1714	22.1	68.7	67.3	52.7	III
	1715	10.8	63.2	77.8	50.6	III
	1716	22.8	73.0	80.1	58.6	III
	1717	49.3	82.3	81.6	71.1	II

TABLE 1. (Continued)

NEW BRITAIN SMSA
Modified Standardized Scores

City or Town	Tract No.	Occupation	Education	Income	Social Rank Score	Social Rank Area
Berlin	4001	38.8	80.6	87.8	69.1	III
	4002	38.4	73.7	97.6	69.9	III
	4003	47.0	82.8	91.5	73.8	II
New Britain	4151	35.9	49.0	61.4	48.8	IV
	4152	24.5	44.4	46.8	38.6	V
	4153	30.9	65.0	75.7	57.2	III
	4154	25.4	59.7	77.0	54.0	III
	4155	28.6	63.0	72.0	54.5	III
	4156	41.9	67.2	80.1	63.1	III
	4157	42.4	65.6	70.5	59.5	III
	4158	52.2	82.8	93.7	76.2	II
	4159	4.5	21.9	69.2	31.8	V
	4160	11.1	38.9	82.6	44.2	IV
	4161	17.6	36.8	75.8	43.4	IV
	4162	22.9	43.2	64.1	43.4	IV
	4163	16.8	56.5	80.3	51.2	III
	4164	61.3	86.3	91.9	79.9	II
	4165	33.8	72.3	82.2	62.8	III
	4166	26.6	46.4	53.9	42.3	IV
	4167	36.0	69.4	83.1	62.9	III
	4168	49.9	66.7	82.1	66.3	III
	4169	57.8	80.4	80.9	73.0	II
	4170	52.7	78.7	92.1	74.5	II
Plainville	4201	34.6	30.3	88.2	67.7	III
	4202	28.8	76.2	80.3	61.7	III
	4203	30.4	79.6	80.2	66.1	III
Southington	4301	36.9	75.4	89.4	60.6	III
	4302	42.3	85.5	89.3	72.4	II
	4303	35.3	84.2	90.4	70.0	III
	4304	36.8	77.7	85.8	66.7	III
	4305	26.2	34.6	94.7	68.5	III
	4306	27.5	73.6	83.9	61.7	III

TABLE 1. (Continued)

NEW HAVEN SMSA
Modified Standardized Scores

City or Town	Tract No.	Occupation	Education	Income	Social Rank Score	Social Rank Area
New Haven	1401	86.1	79.7	69.7	78.5	II
	1402	54.8	83.5	50.2	62.8	III
	1403	56.9	54.8	23.1	44.9	IV
	1404	46.0	65.0	60.7	57.2	III
	1405	45.5	57.0	51.6	51.4	III
	1406	43.4	54.2	39.3	45.6	IV
	1407	70.1	76.2	55.8	67.4	III
	1408	59.9	65.3	45.6	57.0	III
	1409	71.2	79.9	74.6	75.2	II
	1410	79.0	86.4	82.5	82.7	I
	1411	85.9	89.9	89.8	88.5	I
	1412	56.7	81.8	79.0	72.5	II
	1413	64.1	76.1	57.4	65.9	III
	1414	73.8	80.0	81.6	78.5	II
	1415	41.6	61.9	62.8	55.4	III
	1416	42.5	59.0	23.1	41.5	IV
	1417	87.8	91.7	60.7	80.1	I
	1418	82.4	85.8	63.9	77.4	II
	1419	60.4	85.2	79.7	75.1	II
	1420	63.1	76.5	67.2	68.9	III
	1421	61.5	51.2	8.4	40.3	IV
	1422	62.0	65.3	58.8	62.0	III
	1423	21.9	45.6	58.8	42.1	IV
	1424	22.2	35.9	51.2	43.2	IV
	1425	30.5	68.4	48.4	49.1	IV
	1426	34.0	70.3	79.6	61.3	III
	1427	40.1	61.9	67.4	56.4	III
	1427	22.6	42.2	100.0	54.9	III
	1428	49.7	80.0	82.9	70.9	II
West Haven	1541	35.0	71.5	82.1	63.2	III
	1542	48.4	87.0	89.9	75.1	II
	1543	88.5	78.5	64.6	77.2	II
	1544	36.6	64.2	64.2	55.0	III
	1545	47.7	76.8	73.8	66.1	III
	1546	47.0	81.9	80.7	69.9	III
	1547	57.5	87.5	90.3	78.6	II
	1548	45.1	85.6	85.0	73.2	II
	1549	47.6	86.8	85.8	73.4	II
	1550	47.1	77.8	77.6	67.5	III
	1551	49.1	77.1	66.0	64.1	III

TABLE 1. (Continued)

NEW HAVEN SMSA
Modified Standardized Scores (Continued)

City or Town	Tract No.	Occupation	Education	Income	Social Rank Score	Social Rank Area
Orange	1571	74.6	79.0	86.8	80.2	I
	1572	74.0	94.4	94.6	87.7	I
	1573	71.4	90.7	98.2	86.8	I
	1574	67.4	91.9	88.9	82.7	I
Woodbridge	1601	81.1	92.9	93.0	89.0	I
	1602	68.6	89.6	91.3	83.2	I
Bethany	1611	60.6	94.3	90.8	81.9	I
Hamden	1651	53.7	84.4	85.3	74.4	II
	1652	86.5	94.1	93.1	91.3	I
	1653	86.2	89.9	86.4	87.5	I
	1654	72.0	88.5	85.4	82.0	I
	1655	41.0	57.9	73.2	57.4	III
	1656	57.3	73.8	80.9	70.7	II
	1657	54.8	83.2	90.8	76.2	II
	1658	64.0	83.3	93.1	80.1	I
	1659	70.5	92.3	87.0	83.3	I
	1660	68.4	84.8	85.9	79.7	II
	1671	69.8	92.3	88.8	83.6	I
North Haven	1672	48.1	87.7	89.0	74.9	II
	1673	49.0	80.9	90.3	73.4	II
Wallingford	1751	39.9	73.1	88.3	67.1	III
	1752	22.9	75.0	83.4	60.4	III
	1753	39.8	85.8	86.6	70.7	II
	1754	13.1	55.9	89.7	52.9	III
	1755	40.5	90.3	96.4	75.8	II
	1756	50.3	87.4	82.1	73.3	II
	1757	36.9	89.5	92.0	72.8	II
	1758	50.6	90.2	88.0	76.3	II
	1759	45.8	84.5	96.4	75.6	II
	1760	39.0	82.6	87.6	69.7	III
East Haven	1801	41.3	73.2	86.2	66.9	III
	1802	36.4	73.0	86.6	65.3	III
	1803	48.0	76.5	80.2	68.2	III
	1804	35.0	82.4	84.6	67.3	III
	1805	22.5	73.8	79.5	58.6	III
	1806	39.0	87.4	89.0	71.8	II
Branford	1841	39.1	79.1	82.9	67.0	III
	1842	62.2	77.2	85.1	74.8	II
	1843	58.3	93.2	87.9	79.8	II
	1844	57.7	94.4	85.7	79.3	II
	1845	73.6	93.8	91.3	86.2	I
	1846	58.1	89.8	82.0	76.6	II
	1847	48.0	92.1	89.2	76.4	II
North Branford	1861	48.2	85.9	86.6	73.6	II
	1862	54.5	91.5	93.9	79.9	II
Guilford	1901	57.2	86.7	84.2	76.0	II
	1902	64.7	91.8	82.3	79.6	II
	1903	56.0	93.5	89.3	79.6	II
Madison	1941	74.1	88.1	88.8	83.7	I
	1942	73.9	95.4	86.8	85.4	I

TABLE 1. (Continued)

NORWALK SMSA
Modified Standardized Scores

City or Town	Tract No.	Occupation	Education	Income	Social Rank Score	Social Rank Area
Norwalk	401	73.2	92.4	90.8	85.5	I
	402	66.2	89.0	96.6	84.0	I
	403	72.3	93.7	83.6	83.2	I
	404	59.2	93.6	95.3	82.7	I
	405	68.5	94.3	97.6	86.8	I
	406	53.9	81.5	95.9	77.1	II
	407	65.6	92.1	89.6	82.4	I
	408	42.7	76.8	90.2	69.9	III
	409	43.3	63.6	77.7	61.5	III
	410	35.4	73.8	75.9	62.0	III
	411	55.7	86.3	82.9	75.0	II
	412	55.8	79.6	90.0	75.2	II
	413	46.7	72.7	78.6	66.0	III
	414	32.7	58.8	66.5	52.7	III
	415	47.2	72.0	90.9	70.1	II
	416	37.0	68.4	71.9	59.1	III
	417	51.5	77.8	90.9	73.4	II
	418	39.6	52.6	78.5	56.9	III
	419	27.9	49.9	55.4	44.4	IV
	420	45.1	66.4	75.2	62.2	III
	421	54.9	76.2	89.6	73.6	II
	422	41.3	65.8	72.6	60.2	III
	423	29.6	50.8	50.3	43.6	IV
	424	81.1	95.5	90.3	89.0	I
Wilton	451	78.7	95.7	92.9	89.1	I
	452	71.3	97.3	85.9	84.8	I
	453	87.9	93.7	88.6	90.1	I
	454	79.5	95.7	94.0	89.7	I
Westport	501	89.5	95.6	92.4	92.5	I
	502	83.0	88.0	93.9	88.3	I
	503	82.5	94.8	93.3	90.2	I
	504	69.1	82.9	88.2	80.1	I
	505	83.4	95.3	89.3	89.3	I
	506	79.8	96.2	95.9	90.6	I
Weston	551	77.7	94.1	87.6	86.4	I
	552	89.9	96.7	97.7	94.8	I

NORWICH, NEW LONDON, GROTON SMSA

Modified Standardized Scores

Old Lyme	6601	59.3	90.1	82.7	77.3	II
New London	6901	44.1	74.6	60.4	59.7	III
	6902	95.1	95.4	100.0	96.8	I
	6902	100.0	97.3	100.0	99.1	I
	6903	49.6	75.0	74.3	66.3	III
	6904	31.6	60.1	73.0	54.9	III

TABLE 1. (Continued)

NORWICH, NEW LONDON, GROTON SMSA
Modified Standardized Scores (Continued)

City or Town	Tract No.	Occupation	Education	Income	Social Rank Score	Social Rank Area
New London (Cont.)	6905	46.2	54.5	34.0	44.9	IV
	6906	68.0	64.5	59.5	64.0	III
	6907	29.8	60.7	56.8	49.1	IV
	6907	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	I
	6908	59.9	73.4	71.6	68.3	III
	6909	80.3	89.2	84.6	84.7	I
Waterford	6931	52.0	87.2	87.4	75.5	II
	6932	54.8	88.1	79.8	74.2	II
	6933	53.1	87.9	82.8	74.6	II
	6934	38.9	75.6	83.0	65.8	III
	6935	57.1	91.3	80.9	76.4	II
	6951	41.4	80.7	87.2	69.8	III
Montville	6952	35.3	82.7	87.8	68.6	III
	6961	29.7	58.4	75.9	54.7	III
Norwich	6962	52.7	83.4	81.3	72.5	II
	6963	58.0	76.8	89.9	74.9	II
	6964	31.2	68.6	73.5	57.8	III
	6965	65.6	78.4	78.6	74.2	II
	6966	48.4	81.2	89.2	72.9	II
	6967	35.2	61.6	59.8	52.2	III
	6968	41.5	60.2	47.6	49.8	IV
	6969	33.5	47.0	17.4	32.6	V
	6970	32.1	69.3	70.2	57.2	III
	7001	43.5	81.8	87.8	71.0	II
Preston	7002	93.5	60.9	100.0	84.8	I
Ledyard	7011	58.1	87.7	88.1	78.0	II
	7012	66.8	91.5	84.9	81.1	I
Groton	7021	41.2	85.1	77.2	67.8	III
	7022	63.0	96.7	10.1	56.6	III
	7023	76.1	88.2	18.2	60.8	III
	7023	100.0	98.7	100.0	99.6	I
	7024	51.0	85.3	83.8	73.3	II
	7025	58.0	79.3	73.4	70.3	II
	7026	61.5	98.5	97.3	85.8	I
	7027	42.3	90.3	78.6	70.4	II
	7028	39.7	87.1	76.7	67.8	III
	7029	72.5	89.2	91.9	84.5	I
	7030	63.1	88.3	63.3	71.6	II
	7031	100.0	100.0	54.4	84.8	I
	7031	100.0	99.1	100.0	99.7	I
	7051	30.8	71.4	76.4	59.5	III
Stonington	7052	45.6	77.6	81.5	68.3	III
	7053	44.8	82.6	83.0	70.1	II
	7054	42.0	79.6	73.2	64.9	III
	7071	41.5	83.5	87.3	70.9	II
North Stonington	7081	22.2	78.8	72.8	57.9	III
Voluntown	7091	26.7	78.0	81.4	62.0	III
Griswold	7092	19.9	62.2	79.9	54.0	III

TABLE 1. (Continued)

NORWICH, NEW LONDON, GROTON SMSA
Modified Standardized Scores (Continued)

City or Town	Tract No.	Occupation	Education	Income	Social Rank Score	Social Rank Area
Lisbon	7101	31.2	65.2	81.4	59.3	III
Sprague	7111	16.7	53.3	81.6	50.5	III
Franklin	7121	34.7	78.2	97.1	70.0	III
Bozrah	7131	42.2	77.3	78.7	66.1	III
Colchester	7141	41.1	78.3	83.0	67.5	III
Salem	7151	45.5	78.3	82.7	68.8	III
East Lyme	7161	54.6	89.3	89.7	77.9	II
	7162	59.8	87.5	75.8	74.4	II

STAMFORD SMSA
Modified Standardized Scores

Greenwich	101	81.3	92.7	95.8	89.9	I
	102	80.3	92.6	96.3	89.8	I
	103	85.5	89.8	91.1	88.8	I
	104	45.4	76.4	94.0	71.9	II
	105	51.0	68.8	78.0	66.0	III
	106	74.9	77.6	73.5	75.3	II
	107	70.8	84.4	84.1	79.8	II
	108	54.7	77.7	87.4	73.3	II
	109	71.7	89.8	93.3	84.9	I
	110	82.6	94.0	90.8	89.1	I
	111	88.3	97.9	91.3	92.5	I
	112	86.1	90.0	90.2	88.8	I
	113	57.3	77.8	77.3	70.8	II
Stamford	201	52.5	62.3	53.4	56.1	III
	202	84.6	93.5	98.8	92.3	I
	203	87.3	92.6	97.1	92.3	I
	204	73.9	94.7	95.0	89.6	I
	205	82.5	90.2	100.0	90.9	I
	206	62.2	89.8	89.3	80.4	I
	207	74.6	94.5	92.5	87.2	I
	208	76.0	89.3	94.6	86.7	I
	209	50.3	85.7	97.7	77.9	II
	210	53.0	82.8	90.3	76.3	II
	211	60.7	80.7	86.8	76.0	II
	212	59.6	84.8	91.1	78.5	II
	213	64.7	76.2	87.0	76.0	II
	214	47.4	59.5	86.2	64.4	III
	215	38.6	45.1	57.6	47.1	IV
	216	75.0	80.0	82.5	79.2	II
	217	59.1	70.7	78.6	72.8	II
	218	65.7	84.2	90.4	80.1	I
	219	53.3	79.6	88.6	73.8	II
	220	55.3	84.9	84.3	74.8	II
	221	41.2	69.4	80.8	63.8	III
	222	21.4	54.1	52.9	42.8	IV
	223	51.5	62.3	62.7	56.8	III
	224	79.9	88.1	85.8	84.6	I

TABLE 1. (Continued)

STAMFORD SMSA
Modified Standardized Scores (Continued)

City or Town	Tract No.	Occupation	Education	Income	Social Rank Score	Social Rank Area
Darien	301	86.4	91.4	96.7	91.5	I
	302	76.4	94.2	95.5	88.7	I
	303	91.3	95.4	95.6	94.1	I
	304	72.7	91.2	88.0	84.0	I
	305	73.4	92.9	94.6	86.9	I
New Canaan	351	72.0	94.4	91.3	82.6	I
	352	93.0	95.1	96.4	94.8	I
	353	87.2	90.7	94.8	90.9	I
	354	85.5	92.8	95.0	91.1	I

WATERBURY SMSA
Modified Standardized Scores

Beacon Falls	3411	20.1	74.6	86.4	60.4	III
Bethlehem	3421	53.3	86.4	84.8	74.8	II
Cheshire	3431	68.9	91.9	87.9	82.9	I
	3432	59.7	88.0	91.7	79.8	II
	3433	73.9	94.3	88.5	85.6	I
	3434	58.0	94.3	88.4	80.2	I
Middlebury	3441	51.5	87.4	89.9	76.3	II
	3442	54.3	88.1	90.0	77.5	II
Naugatuck	3451	21.4	71.3	86.1	59.6	III
	3452	21.3	77.2	86.4	61.7	III
	3453	24.5	64.8	83.6	57.6	III
	3454	45.0	83.8	87.3	72.1	II
Oxford	3461	35.6	85.8	86.5	69.3	III
Prospect	3471	30.5	86.5	92.8	69.9	III
	3472	35.1	83.6	92.6	70.4	II
Southbury	3481	58.8	49.3	83.3	63.8	III
Thomaston	3491	27.7	81.4	75.6	61.6	III
	3492	22.8	77.5	83.1	64.5	III
Waterbury	3501	45.9	58.3	60.1	54.8	III
	3502	22.5	43.9	56.5	41.0	IV
	3503	25.5	44.5	70.1	46.7	IV
	3504	28.4	57.7	55.7	47.2	IV
	3505	13.5	33.6	62.5	36.5	V
	3506	45.5	16.0	100.0	53.8	III
	3507	31.2	63.9	90.9	62.0	III
	3508	51.0	72.0	82.3	68.4	III
	3509	65.5	84.5	85.2	79.7	II
	3510	37.1	65.2	83.2	61.8	III
	3511	13.2	54.9	80.0	49.4	IV
	3512	34.3	41.7	51.0	42.3	IV
	3513	34.7	73.0	76.8	61.5	III
	3514	22.6	64.0	82.1	56.3	III
	3515	27.8	70.3	83.0	60.4	III

TABLE 1. (Continued)

WATERBURY SMSA
Modified Standardized Scores (Continued)

City or Town	Tract No.	Occupation	Education	Income	Social Rank Score	Social Rank Area
Waterbury (Cont.)	3515	32.6	61.6	84.4	59.5	III
	3517	21.0	55.1	59.6	45.2	IV
	3518	54.7	80.7	83.8	73.0	II
	3519	64.6	91.0	91.5	82.4	I
	3520	61.3	35.9	92.6	79.9	II
	3521	52.6	82.6	85.7	73.6	II
	3522	18.7	94.1	67.4	56.7	III
	3523	31.9	82.6	85.7	66.7	III
	3524	25.6	68.4	59.6	51.2	III
	3525	19.3	72.4	86.2	59.3	III
	3526	35.3	80.3	87.6	67.8	III
	3527	37.4	73.5	87.6	66.2	III
	3528	53.8	94.2	87.7	75.2	II
	3601	54.2	86.0	88.0	76.1	II
Watertown	3602	47.5	88.1	94.0	76.5	II
	3603	17.7	73.5	72.0	54.4	III
	3604	29.2	67.9	84.3	60.5	III
Wolcott	3611	39.1	82.4	90.1	70.6	II
	3612	27.5	79.2	92.1	66.3	III
	3613	27.6	79.5	85.5	64.2	III
Woodbury	3621	58.6	90.4	86.9	78.6	II