

2011

Extreme environments select for reproductive assurance: evidence from evening primroses (*Oenothera*)

Margaret E.K. Evans

Museum National d'Histoire Naturelle

David J. Hearn

Towson University

Kathryn E. Theiss

University of Connecticut - Storrs, kathryn.theiss@uconn.edu

Karen Cranston

National Evolutionary Synthesis Center

Kent E. Holsinger

University of Connecticut - Storrs, kent.holsinger@uconn.edu

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://opencommons.uconn.edu/eeb_articles



Part of the [Botany Commons](#), and the [Evolution Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Evans, Margaret E.K.; Hearn, David J.; Theiss, Kathryn E.; Cranston, Karen; Holsinger, Kent E.; and Donoghue, Michael J., "Extreme environments select for reproductive assurance: evidence from evening primroses (*Oenothera*)" (2011). *EEB Articles*. 24.

https://opencommons.uconn.edu/eeb_articles/24

Authors

Margaret E.K. Evans, David J. Hearn, Kathryn E. Theiss, Karen Cranston, Kent E. Holsinger, and Michael J. Donoghue

1
2
3
4 Extreme environments select for reproductive assurance:
5 evidence from evening primroses (*Oenothera*)
6
7
8

9 Margaret E. K. Evans^{1, 2, 3}

10 David J. Hearn⁴

11 Kathryn E. Theiss⁵

12 Karen Cranston⁶

13 Kent E. Holsinger⁵

14 Michael J. Donoghue³
15
16

17 ¹ *Author for correspondence*
18

19 ² *Current address:*

20 Origin, Structure, and Evolution of Biodiversity UMR 7205

21 Museum National d'Histoire Naturelle

22 16 rue Buffon

23 75231 Paris cedex 05

24 margaret.ekevans@gmail.com

25 (+33)140793366 (ph)

26 (+33)140793342 (fax)
27
28
29

30 ³ Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, Yale University, New Haven, CT
31 06511

32 ⁴ Department of Biological Sciences, Towson University, 8000 York Road, Towson, MD
33 21252

34 ⁵ Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Connecticut, 75 N.
35 Eagleville Road,
36 U-3043, Storrs, CT 06269

37 ⁶ National Evolutionary Synthesis Center, 2024 W. Main Street, A200, Durham, NC 27705
38
39
40

41 *Total word count: 3,894*

42 *Introduction: 774*

43 *Materials and Methods: 1,181*

44 *Results: 548*

45 *Discussion: 1,197*

46 *Acknowledgements: 81*

47
48

1 Table, 2 Figures
Supporting Information: 1 Table

Summary

- Competing evolutionary forces shape plant breeding systems (e.g., inbreeding depression, reproductive assurance). Which of these forces prevails in a given population or species is predicted to depend upon such factors as life history, ecological conditions, and geographical context. Here we examined two such predictions: that self-compatibility should be associated with (1) the annual life history or (2) extreme climatic conditions.
- We analyzed data from a clade of plants remarkable for variation in breeding system, life history and climatic conditions (*Oenothera*, sections *Anogra* and *Kleinia*, Onagraceae). We used a phylogenetic comparative approach and Bayesian or hybrid Bayesian tests to account for phylogenetic uncertainty. GIS-based climate data and ecological niche modeling allowed us to quantify climatic conditions.
- Breeding system and reproductive lifespan are not correlated in *Anogra+Kleinia*. Instead, self-compatibility is associated with the extremes of temperature in the coldest part of the year and precipitation in the driest part of the year.
- In the 60 years since this pattern was anticipated, this is the first demonstration of a relationship between the evolution of self-compatibility and climatic extremes. We discuss possible explanations for this pattern and possible implications with respect to anthropogenic climate change.

72 Key words: Baker's Law, climate, evolution, life history, *Oenothera*, plant breeding
73 system, reproductive assurance, self-compatibility

74 **Introduction**

75 Puzzling over variation and evolution of plant breeding systems has occupied
76 some of the greatest thinkers of evolutionary biology (Darwin, 1876; Fisher, 1941;
77 Dobzhansky, 1950; Stebbins, 1950, 1957). A central pattern of interest is variation in
78 inbreeding vs. outbreeding, which is thought to arise from competing evolutionary
79 forces. On one hand, the negative fitness consequences of inbreeding (known as
80 inbreeding depression) favor outbreeding (Nagylaki, 1976; Lande & Schemske, 1985;
81 Charlesworth and Charlesworth, 1987). In many plants, self-incompatibility enforces
82 outcrossing, so that self-fertilization, the most extreme form of inbreeding, is impossible.
83 Phylogenetic analyses suggest that there have been relatively few origins of self-
84 incompatibility in seed plants (Weller et al., 1995; Igic & Kohn, 2001; Steinbachs &
85 Holsinger, 2002; Igic et al., 2008), whereas change to self-compatibility is described as
86 the most common evolutionary transition experienced by flowering plant lineages
87 (Stebbins, 1974). On the other hand, self-compatibility can be advantageous if it
88 provides “reproductive assurance”: a plant that finds itself without mates or pollinators
89 may still be able to reproduce (Darwin, 1876; Lloyd, 1979, 1992; Fausto et al., 2001;
90 Weber & Goodwillie, 2009). These two selective factors, inbreeding depression and
91 reproductive assurance, are thought to be among the most important acting on plant
92 breeding systems (Lloyd, 1979; Holsinger, 1996, 2000; Eckert et al., 2006).

93 Which of these competing forces wins out in shaping the breeding system of a
94 particular species or population is context-dependent, including factors such as life
95 history, ecological conditions, and geographical context. For example, it’s thought that
96 selection for reproductive assurance should be stronger in plants that have just one
97 opportunity to reproduce in their lifetime (annuals, monocarpic perennials) compared

to plants that have several chances to reproduce (polycarpic perennials; Morgan & Schoen, 1997; Morgan, 2001). Thus annuals should be more likely than perennials to evolve self-compatibility, whereas perennials should be more likely to retain self-incompatibility (Stebbins, 1950; pp 176-181). This prediction has been borne out in some surveys of plant breeding systems (Barrett et al., 1996) but not others (Raven, 1979).

Ecological conditions are also thought to modify selection on plant breeding systems. Insect pollinator abundance can be low under cold conditions, *i.e.*, at high elevations and latitudes, which might increase selection for reproductive assurance in the plants found there (Moldencke, 1979; Kalin Arroyo, 1985; Korner, 1999; but see Garcia-Camacho & Totland, 2009). Extremely arid conditions are associated with variation in reproductive success (Beatley, 1974; Kadmon & Schmida, 1990; Philippi, 1993; Clauss & Venable, 2000; Schemske & Bierzychudek, 2001), which may generate selection for reproductive assurance. Stebbins (1950) generalized this by suggesting that extreme conditions should favor the evolution of selfing: "selection in favor of self-fertilization is very likely to occur in all annuals and other short-lived plants living in deserts, alpine or arctic regions, or other extreme environments". Lloyd (1992) made a similar association between environmental conditions (extreme temperatures, high latitudes and altitudes) and selfing.

Here we evaluate patterns of covariation and coevolution of reproductive lifespan (annual vs. iteroparous perennial), breeding system, and climatic conditions in a clade of plants found in Western North America: *Oenothera* sections *Anogra* and *Kleinia* (Onagraceae). This group of 19 taxa is remarkable for variation in climatic conditions, life history, and breeding system (Table 1). Some of the *Anogra+Kleinia* taxa are found in low-elevation deserts of the southwestern U. S., others in semi-arid

grasslands, and others in alpine meadows in the Rocky Mountain region (Wagner et al., 2007; Evans et al., 2009). Ten of the *Anogra+Kleinia* taxa are self-incompatible, four are polymorphic in breeding system (either among or within populations), and five are self-compatible (Klein, 1970; Raven, 1979; Theiss et al., 2010). In this same group, ten are perennial, two are variable in life history, and seven are annual (Klein, 1970; Evans et al., 2005; Wagner et al., 2007). This variation makes the *Anogra+Kleinia* clade an excellent group in which to evaluate coevolution of (1) breeding system and reproductive lifespan and (2) breeding system and climate. We use a molecular phylogenetic comparative approach to account for non-independence of taxa. Because of the young age of the *Anogra+Kleinia* radiation (crown date within the last one million years; Evans et al., 2009), there is considerable phylogenetic uncertainty (see Fig. 1a), which we account for using a sample from the posterior distribution of Bayesian phylogenetic trees. We use GIS-based climate data and ecological niche modeling to quantify the climatic conditions where the *Anogra+Kleinia* taxa are found. Using the combination of phylogenetic hypotheses, trait data, and climatic niche estimates, we evaluate the predictions that (1) the perennial habit should be associated with self-incompatibility and the annual habit with self-compatibility and (2) self-compatibility should be associated with ecologically marginal conditions.

Materials and Methods

Study System and Trait Data - Sections *Anogra* and *Kleinia* (*Oenothera*) form a well-supported clade (Levin et al., 2004; Evans et al., 2005). All 19 taxa have large, white flowers that are visited by widely-distributed hawkmoths (particularly *Hyles lineata*)

during crepuscular hours and by specialist pollen-collecting bees in the morning (Linsley, 1963a,b; Klein, 1970).

We compiled data on breeding system and life history for all taxa in sections *Anogra* and *Kleinia* from the literature, including our own previous research (Klein, 1970; Raven, 1979; Evans et al., 2005; Wagner et al., 2007; Theiss et al., 2010; see Table 1). Of the two taxa that are variable in life history, *O. californica* ssp. *cognata* and *O. pallida* ssp. *trichocalyx*, the former is reportedly variable among years (Klein, 1970; Hickman, 1993) and the latter is variable among populations (Martins & Hutchins, 1980). Of the taxa variable in breeding system, two are variable among populations (*O. deltoides* ssp. *deltoides* and *O. coronopifolia*; Klein, 1970; Raven, 1979; Theiss et al., 2010), and two are variable within populations (*O. californica* ssp. *californica* and *O. pallida* ssp. *pallida*; Theiss et al., 2010).

Climatic conditions were characterized as described in Evans et al. (2009), using ecological niche or bioclimatic niche modeling as implemented by Maxent (Phillips et al., 2006). We created predictions of each taxon's geographic range based on locality and climate data. These predictions, which take the form of probability distributions (when using Maxent), were integrated with respect to each climate variable (see Figure 3f in Evans et al., 2009). This takes into account variation in climatic conditions across the entire (predicted) geographic range of each taxon. Here we use the weighted mean (across each geographic range) per climate variable for further analyses.

Comparative Analyses – We used aligned DNA sequence data from Evans et al. (2009) to generate phylogenetic hypotheses for *Anogra+Kleinia*. This included sequences for

six gene regions: one nuclear (*ITS*) and five chloroplast spacer regions (*trnH-trnK*, *trnL-trnF*, *rpoB-trnC*, *trnD-trnT*, and *trnS-trnG*), for a total of 6491 base pairs. These six regions were analyzed as a combined data set, based on a partition homogeneity test (Evans et al., 2009). Substitution models were as in Evans et al. (2009). *Oenothera xylocarpa* was used as the outgroup for rooting purposes. We estimated the posterior distribution of trees using the MC³ algorithm in MrBayes (version 3.1.2; Ronquist & Huelsenbeck, 2003). Four chains were run for 10⁷ generations. We examined convergence by plotting the likelihood values and removed the first 2*10⁶ generations as burn-in. The post-burn-in sample included every 1000th generation. This was replicated twice, yielding a posterior sample of 16,000 trees. The consensus tree has considerable phylogenetic uncertainty, as well as three strongly-supported groupings (posterior probabilities >0.99): *O. nuttallii* and *O. coronopifolia*, the four subspecies of *O. pallida*, and the three subspecies of *O. californica* plus *O. arizonica* and *O. neomexicana* (Fig. 1a). The five subspecies of *O. deltoides* plus *O. wigginsii* may or may not form a clade (compare the placement of *O. deltoides* ssp. *ambigua* in Fig. 1a vs. 1b). *Oenothera albicaulis* and *O. engelmannii* form a clade or grade outside the *pallida*, *californica*, and *deltoides* groups.

We used BayesTraits (version 1.0; Pagel & Meade, 2006) to test the fit (marginal likelihood) of the data to two alternative models of the evolution of breeding system and life history: the two traits evolve independently or they depend upon one another (Pagel, 1994). BayesTraits compares the two models using Bayes factor, *i.e.*, the ratio of the marginal likelihood of the independent model to the dependent model. The marginal likelihoods are estimated by the harmonic mean of the likelihoods over a large number of (post burn-in) iterations of a Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) simulation,

thus integrating over phylogenetic and parameter uncertainty. The transition rates were assigned exponential priors, with a uniform hyperprior (0, 30) for the parameters governing these exponential distributions. The MCMC simulation was run for 10^6 generations, sampling every 10^3 generations. The first 5×10^4 generations were discarded as burn-in. Life history and breeding system were each assigned a binary coding scheme. We considered two coding schemes for each trait, but because the results changed little with coding scheme, we present only the results from grouping the two taxa that are variable in life history with perennials. The five taxa that are variable in breeding system were either (1) grouped with the self-compatible taxa (coding scheme “BS1”) or (2) grouped with the self-incompatible taxa (“BS2”). For breeding system, we constrained the rate of the “backwards” transition (evolution of self-incompatibility from self-compatibility) to 0.001, because it is unlikely for a second mutation to repair the self-incompatibility system after it has been disabled (Igic et al., 2008; Goldberg et al., 2010), and we set the state at the root node to be self-incompatible (Goldberg & Igic, 2008). Because there is not a similar strong argument for constraining the model of life history evolution, we conducted simulations under the possible alternatives: the backwards transition (from annual back to perennial) constrained or unconstrained, and the root state constrained to perennial or annual.

We also conducted stochastic mapping (*sensu* Nielsen, 2002; Huelsenbeck et al., 2003) of breeding system and life history using Mesquite (version 2.74; Maddison & Maddison, 2010), to evaluate their evolutionary lability. Evolutionary parameters were estimated using maximum likelihood inference on a posterior sample of 16,000 trees. Specifically, transition rates among breeding systems were estimated under an asymmetrical two parameter Markov model, with the rate of the “backwards” transition

(evolution of self-incompatibility from self-compatibility) set at 0.001. For life history, we considered both an asymmetrical two parameter model (constraining the transition from annual to perennial to 0.001) and a symmetrical one parameter model.

To evaluate the correlated evolution of breeding system and climate, we calculated phylogenetically-independent contrasts on the same posterior sample of 16,000 trees. If there is no association between breeding system and climate, the sum of the contrasts (on any given tree) should be zero or close to zero. The significance of any deviation from zero is evaluated using a t-test. However, an association between changes to self-compatibility and extreme environments cannot be detected with a straight-forward implementation of this method, because positive contrasts towards one extreme of a climate variable would be cancelled out by negative contrasts towards the other extreme, summing to zero, leading to the inference of no association. Thus we rescaled climate data: the center of the range of variation for a climate variable was set to zero, and deviations in both directions were given positive values. For example, if the original climate data were 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, the rescaled climate data were 4, 3, 2, 1, 0, 1, 2, 3, 4. We used a one-sided t-test of the deviation of phylogenetically-independent contrasts from zero since we had a specific hypothesis about the direction of change in climate (towards the extremes) that should be associated with the evolution of self-compatibility. In addition, we report the results of tests that do not correct for relatedness: logistic regressions relating breeding system to climate, with a quadratic term to fit the nonlinear response.

Results

Both breeding system and life history have been evolutionarily labile in *Anogra* + *Kleinia*, but they have not evolved in a correlated fashion (Fig. 1b). Stochastic mapping suggests that self-compatibility evolved from self-incompatibility 8.7 times in the *Anogra*+*Kleinia* clade (on average, across the posterior sample of phylogenetic trees). With the backwards life history transition constrained, stochastic mapping produced an average of 5.9 changes to the annual habit (compared to an average of 18.5 changes in life history when the backwards transition is unconstrained). We found only weak support for correlated evolution of breeding system and life history: with the root states set to self-incompatible and perennial, and both backwards transitions set at 0.001 (thus constraining the evolution of the two characters towards the predicted combination of self-incompatible and perennial), Bayes factor favoring the dependent model was only 2.90 (a Bayes Factor more than 3 is necessary for the evidence in favor of the preferred model to be regarded as substantial; Jeffreys (1961)). When the backwards transition for life history is unconstrained (which is a more likely model for life history evolution; Young & Augspurger, 1991; Lesica & Young, 2005; Good-Avila et al., 2006; Tank & Olmstead, 2008), the Bayes factor is -2.40 against the dependent model (see Figure 1b). Across all coding schemes for breeding system and life history, different states at the root node (for life history), and constrained vs. unconstrained models of the backwards transition (for life history), Bayes factor for the dependent vs. independent model ranged from -17.08 to 3.04.

In contrast, we found that changes to self-compatibility were strongly associated with evolution towards the extremes of certain climate variables (Table 1, Fig. 2). Contrasts of climate with respect to breeding system that were significantly different from zero on the maximum *a posteriori* (MAP) tree include minimum temperature in the

coldest month ($p = 0.025$), mean temperature in the coldest quarter ($p = 0.016$), precipitation in the driest month ($p = 0.022$), and precipitation in the driest quarter ($p = 0.029$; Table S1). This was in spite of low power: just 7 contrasts on the MAP tree. Contrasts of these four variables were significant on 707 of 1000 probable trees (minimum temperature in the coldest month), 797 of 1000 trees (mean temperature in the coldest quarter), 956 of 1000 trees (precipitation in the driest month), and 854 of 1000 trees (precipitation in the driest quarter; Table S1). Changes to self-compatibility were associated with evolution towards the extremes of these same four climate variables under a more restrictive coding scheme for breeding system (BS2; Table S1). Thus this result is quite robust to coding scheme and phylogenetic uncertainty, especially considering the small number of contrasts. Logistic regressions of the relationship between breeding system and climate (that do not correct for relatedness) showed similar patterns, with the exception that mean temperature in the coldest quarter was not significantly related to breeding system (though minimum temperature in the coldest month was; Table S1).

Since minimum temperature in the coldest month and mean temperature in the coldest quarter are correlated, and precipitation in the driest month and precipitation in the driest quarter are correlated, we can speak of essentially two climate variables that correlate with breeding system: winter minimum temperatures and precipitation in the driest part of the year.

Discussion

This study affirms the notion that breeding system evolution is context dependent, though not always in the classic manner expected. It has long been predicted that

290 annuals are more likely than perennials to be self-compatible (Stebbins, 1950; Barrett et
291 al., 1996), but in the *Anogra+Kleinia* clade breeding system does not correlate with
292 reproductive lifespan in this manner. Four of seven annuals are self-incompatible, and
293 two of ten perennials are self-compatible (with another three variable in
294 compatibility; Table 1, Fig. 1b). While the *Anogra+Kleinia* clade is small, Raven (1979)
295 made the same conclusion for the Onagraceae as a whole: among the 581 species for
296 which data were available, an approximately equal fraction were modally outcrossing
297 vs. selfing. Many of the annuals in the *Anogra+Kleinia* clade are desert annuals (Evans
298 et al., 2005; Wagner et al., 2007), which often have seed banks (Venable & Pake, 1999;
299 Evans et al., 2007). Seed banks buffer environmental variation in reproductive success
300 (Philippi & Seger, 1989; Pake & Venable, 1996; Evans & Dennehy, 2005; Meyer et al.,
301 2006), which should reduce selection for reproductive assurance and smodify the
302 prediction for self-compatibility in annuals. While life history should be expected to
303 affect selection on the breeding system, many life history traits can affect reproductive
304 success, so we should expect more than one to be important, for example,
305 reproductive lifespan *and* seed banking.

306 Instead of reproductive lifespan, climate correlates with breeding system in the
307 *Anogra+Kleinia* clade. The extremes of temperature in the coldest part of the year and
308 precipitation in the driest part of the year are associated with the evolution of self-
309 compatibility. Note that Stebbins (1950) predicted extreme environments should select
310 for self-fertilization, whereas we found an association with self-compatibility. We take
311 self-compatibility as a mark of selection for reproductive assurance (as a working
312 hypothesis for our 19 study taxa; though see the detailed work of Herlihy & Eckert, 2002
313 on *Aquilegia canadensis*). We discuss two sources of selection for reproductive

assurance, pollinator limitation and mate limitation, and their relationship to climate. Pollinator limitation seems likely in the evolutionary history of *O. neomexicana*, which is reported to self-fertilize (autonomously set seed; Theiss et al., 2010), and thus has been freed from dependence on insects for pollination. *Oenothera neomexicana* is a perennial found from 1800 to 2700 meters in mountains (“sky islands”) of New Mexico and Arizona, where pollinator activity may be limited or variable because of cold temperatures (Moldencke, 1979; Kalin Arroyo, 1985; Korner, 1999; Carlson et al., 2008). At the other end of the temperature spectrum, *O. wigginsii* in the Sonoran desert is the only other *Anogra+Kleinia* taxon reported to set “abundant” seed via spontaneous selfing (Klein, 1970). It is not clear why *O. wigginsii* would experience poorer pollinator service than neighboring *Anogra+Kleinia* taxa (*O. arizonica* and *O. deltoides* ssp. *deltoides* in the Sonoran desert, *O. californica* ssp. *californica* in southern California), though interestingly, the first is self-compatible and the latter two polymorphic in breeding system. While self-compatibility alone (as opposed to self-fertilization) is not expected to provide reproductive assurance against pollinator limitation, low levels of spontaneous selfing may occasionally prevent total reproductive failure, which may be relevant in the arid southwestern U. S.

The alternative is that selection for reproductive assurance (and the evolution of self-compatibility) could be related to mate limitation, specifically, extinction-colonization dynamics. Baker (1955, 1967) and Stebbins (1957) argued that self-compatibility is favored during colonization (known as “Baker’s Law”). Baker’s Law was originally framed in terms of colonization of islands, and plants in the *Anogra+Kleinia* clade are found on loose, coarse-grained soils (Klein, 1970; Wagner et al., 2007) that are distributed on the landscape in patches, much like islands. The evolution of self-

compatibility can also occur with post-glacial colonization (Dobzhansky, 1950; Shimizu et al., 2004; Ansell et al., 2008; Guo et al., 2009; Winkler et al., 2010). Within *Oenothera*, Johnson et al. (2010) found that asexual species are more northerly-distributed than sexual species, which they attributed to the superior colonization ability associated with asexuality. The hypothesis of post-glacial range expansion (to explain the evolution of self-compatibility) could be relevant for three northerly-distributed perennials: *O. coronopifolia*, *O. nuttallii*, and *O. pallida* ssp. *pallida*. Cold winter temperatures are associated with their northern distribution.

Extinction-colonization dynamics on a much shorter time scale may occur in the arid southwestern U. S. (where winters are mild and there is little precipitation). There, the *Anogra+Kleinia* taxa are associated with washes, which periodically flood, potentially burying or displacing patches of plants. Combined with the wild fluctuations in abundance for which short-lived plants in the arid southwestern U. S. are known (Beatley, 1974; Schemske & Bierzychudek, 2001; Bowers, 2005; Evans et al., 2007; Venable, 2007), extinction-colonization dynamics are possible. Self-compatibility is selected for in a metapopulation (Pannell & Barrett, 1998; Busch, 2005). This explanation for self-compatibility could apply to *O. arizonica*, *O. californica* ssp. *californica*, *O. deltoides* ssp. *cognata*, and *O. deltoides* ssp. *deltoides*.

Though the mechanism(s) remains uncertain at this point, the data from *Anogra+Kleinia* are consistent with the idea that short-lived plants under extreme conditions should experience selection for reproductive assurance (Stebbins 1950). This is the first time, in the 60 years since the idea was articulated, that a relationship has been demonstrated between the evolution of self-compatibility and climatic extremes. Integrating GIS-based environmental data into the comparative framework of

evolutionary biology makes it possible to evaluate climatic and geographic predictions about trait evolution (Kozak, 2008; Swenson, 2008). In the *Anogra+Kleinia* clade, this pattern deserves further investigation: breeding systems are known from few populations per taxon, and some taxa have geographic ranges that cover large latitudinal or elevational gradients. Further, we don't yet know whether the currently-delineated taxonomy of this group corresponds with independently-evolving lineages (phylogenetic work thus far has relied on sampling from a single individual per taxon). The extreme environments pattern deserves investigation outside the *Anogra+Kleinia* clade as well. Many other groups of short-lived plants that have diversified across elevational or latitudinal gradients would be good candidates for testing the scope of Stebbins' (1950) prediction.

What implications can be drawn from this study, with respect to plant diversity facing climate change? Anthropogenic climate change may favor leading-edge evolution of self-compatibility as it appears to have in the Pleistocene (Ansell et al., 2008; Guo et al., 2009; Winkler et al., 2010). In addition, climate change is expected to generate more extreme weather, and can produce phenological mismatches between plants and pollinators (Memmott et al., 2007; Hegland et al., 2009; Yang and Rudolf, 2010), both of which can lead to reproductive failure and hence increased selection for reproductive assurance. The evolution of self-compatibility may not be detrimental in the short-term (if selfing is limited, inbreeding depression is not strong, or it is quickly purged); selfing may even be associated with larger ranges at the timescale of sister taxa comparisons (Randle et al., 2009). But in the longer term, selfing is considered disadvantageous (Dobzhansky, 1950; Stebbins, 1950, 1957, 1974; Holsinger, 2000; Takebayashi & Morrell, 2001; Igic, 2008; Goldberg et al., 2010). Though the

386 relationship is not inevitable, it is possible for climate change to favor the evolution of a
387 mating system that reduces long-term evolutionary potential (in the extreme, known as
388 “evolutionary suicide”; Dieckmann & Ferriere, 2004).

Acknowledgements

We thank Rob Raguso for generously sharing his seed collections, Warren Wagner for sharing locality data, Irene Till-Bottraud for discussions of pollinator availability at high altitudes, Brad Boyle for the suggestion of rescaling climate data, and J. C. Oliver for help with Mesquite. Three anonymous reviewers improved the manuscript. MEKE was supported by the Yale Institute for Biospheric Studies, the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, and the Agence Nationale de la Recherche, programme “6th extinction”, through the project EVORANGE (ANR-09-PEXT-011).

References

- Ansell SW, Grundmann M, Russell J, Schneider H, Vogel JC. 2008.** Genetic discontinuity, breeding system change and population history of *Arabis alpina* in the Italian peninsula and adjacent Alps. *Molecular Ecology* 17: 2245-2257.
- Baker HG. 1955.** Self-compatibility and establishment after "long-distance" dispersal. *Evolution* 9: 347-348.
- Baker HG. 1967.** Support for Baker's Law as a rule. *Evolution* 21: 853-856.
- Barrett SCH, Harder LD, Worley AC. 1996.** The comparative biology of pollination and mating in flowering plants. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B* 351: 1271-1280.
- Beatty JC. 1974.** Phenological events and their environmental triggers in Mojave Desert ecosystems. *Ecology* 55: 856-863.
- Bollback JP. 2006.** SIMMAP: Stochastic character mapping of discrete traits on phylogenies. *BMC Bioinformatics* 7: 88.
- Bowers JE. 2005.** El Nino displays of spring-flowering annuals in the Mohave and Sonoran deserts. *Journal of the Torrey Botanical Society* 132: 38-49.
- Busch JW. 2005.** The evolution of self-compatibility in geographically peripheral populations of *Leavenworthia alabamica* (Brassicaceae). *American Journal of Botany* 92: 1503-1512.
- Carlson ML, Gisler SD, Kelso S. 2008.** The role of reproductive assurance in the Arctic: a comparative study of a homostylous and distylous species pair. *Arctic Antarctic and Alpine Research* 40: 39-47.

420 **Charlesworth D, Charlesworth B. 1987.** Inbreeding depression and its evolutionary
 421 consequences. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 18: 237-268.

422 **Clauss MJ, Venable DL. 2000.** Seed germination in desert annuals: An empirical test of
 423 adaptive bet hedging. *American Naturalist* 155: 168-186.

424 **Darwin C. 1876.** The Effects of Cross and Self-fertilization in the Vegetable Kingdom.
 425 London, UK: John Murray.

426 **Dieckmann U, Ferriere R. 2004.** Adaptive Dynamics and Evolving Biodiversity. In:
 427 Ferriere R, Dieckmann U, Couvet D, eds. *Evolutionary Conservation Biology*.
 428 Cambridge University Press, 188–224.

429 **Dobzhansky T. 1950.** Evolution in the tropics. *American Scientist* 38: 209-221.

430 **Eckert CG, Kalisz S, Geber MA, Sargent R, Elle E, Cheptou P-O, Goodwillie C, Johnson**
 431 **MO, Kelly JK, Moeller DA et al. 2009.** Plant mating systems in a changing world.
 432 *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 25: 35-43.

433 **Evans MEK, Dennehy JJ. 2005.** Germ banking: bet hedging and variable release from
 434 egg and seed dormancy. *Quarterly Review of Biology* 80: 431-451.

435 **Evans MEK, Hearn DJ, Hahn WJ, Spangle J, Venable DL. 2005.** Climate and life-history
 436 evolution in evening primroses (*Oenothera*, Onagraceae): a phylogenetic
 437 comparative analysis. *Evolution* 59: 1914-1927.

438 **Evans MEK, Ferriere R, Kane MJ, Venable DL. 2007.** Bet hedging via seed banking in
 439 desert evening primroses (*Oenothera*, Onagraceae): demographic evidence
 440 from natural populations. *American Naturalist* 169: 184-194.

441 **Evans MEK, Smith SA, Flynn RS, Donoghue MJ. 2009.** Climate, niche evolution, and
 442 diversification of the “bird-cage” evening primroses (*Oenothera*, sections *Anogra*
 443 and *Kleinia*). *American Naturalist*.

444 **Fausto JA, Eckhart VM, Geber MA. 2001.** Reproductive assurance and the evolutionary
 445 ecology of self-pollination in *Clarkia xantiana* (Onagraceae). *American Journal*
 446 *of Botany* 88: 1794-1800.

447 **Fisher RA. 1941.** Average excess and average effect of an allelic substitution. *Annals*
 448 *of Eugenics* 11: 53-63.

449 **Garcia-Camacho R, Totland O. 2009.** Pollen limitation in the alpine: a meta-analysis.
 450 *Arctic Antarctic and Alpine Research* 41: 103-111.

451 **Goldberg EE, Igic B. 2008.** On phylogenetic tests of irreversible evolution. *Evolution* 62:
 452 2727-2741.

453 **Goldberg EE, Kohn JR, Lande R, Robertson KA, Smith SA, Igic B. 2010.** Species selection
 454 maintains self-incompatibility. *Science* 330: 493-495.

455 **Good-Avila et al. 2006.** Timing and rate of speciation in *Agave* (Agavaceae).
 456 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA.* 103: 9124-9129.

457 **Guo Y-L, Bechsgaard JS, Slotte T, Neuffer B, Lascoux M, Weigel D, Schierup MH. 2009.**
 458 Recent speciation of *Capsella rubella* from *Capsella grandiflora*, associated with
 459 loss of self-incompatibility and an extreme bottleneck. *Proceedings of the*
 460 *National Academy of Sciences, USA* 106: 5246-5251.

461 **Hegland SJ, Nielsen A, Lazaro A, Bjerknes A-N, Totland O. 2009.** How does climate
 462 warming affect plant-pollinator interactions? *Ecology Letters* 12:184-195.

463 **Herlihy CR, Eckert CG. 2002.** Genetic cost of reproductive assurance in a self-fertilizing
 464 plant. *Nature* 416: 320-323.

465 **Hickman JC. 1993.** The Jepson manual: higher plants of California. Berkeley, USA:
 466 University of California Press.

467 **Holsinger KE. 1996.** Pollination biology and the evolution of mating systems in flowering
 468 plants. *Evolutionary Biology* 29: 107-149.

469 **Holsinger KE. 2000.** Reproductive systems and evolution in vascular plants. *Proceedings*
 470 *of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 97: 7037-7042.

471 **Huelsenbeck JP, Nielsen R, and Bollback JP. 2003.** Stochastic mapping of
 472 morphological characters. *Systematic Biology* 52: 131-158.

473 **Igic B, Kohn JR. 2001.** Evolutionary relationships among self-incompatibility RNases.
 474 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 98: 13167-13171.

475 **Igic B, Lande R, Kohn JR. 2008.** Loss of self-incompatibility and its evolutionary
 476 consequences. *International Journal of Plant Sciences* 169: 93-104.

477 **Jeffreys H. 1961.** The theory of probability. 3rd Edition. Oxford, UK: Clarendon Press.

478 **Johnson MTJ, Smith S, Rausher M. 2010.** The effect of plant sex on range distributions
 479 and allocation to reproduction. *New Phytologist* 186: 769-779.

480 **Kadmon R, Schmida A. 1990.** Patterns and causes of spatial variation in the
 481 reproductive success of a desert annual. *Oecologia* 83: 139-144.

482 **Kalin Arroyo MT, Armesto JJ, Primack R. 1985.** Community studies in pollination ecology
 483 in the high temperate Andes of central Chile. II. Effect of temperature on
 484 visitation rates and pollination possibilities. *Plant Systematics and Evolution* 149:
 485 187-203.

486 **Klein WM. 1970.** The evolution of three diploid species of *Oenothera* subgenus *Anogra*
 487 (Onagraceae). *Evolution* 24: 578-597.

488 **Korner C. 1999.** Alpine Plant Life: Functional Plant Ecology of High Mountain
 489 Ecosystems. Berlin, Germany: Springer-Verlag.

490 **Kozak KH, Graham CH, Wiens JJ. 2008.** Integrating GIS-based environmental data into
 491 evolutionary biology. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 23: 141-148.

492 **Lande R, Schemske DW. 1985.** The evolution of self-fertilization and inbreeding
 493 depression in plants. I. Genetic models. *Evolution* 39: 24-40.

494 **Lesica P, Young TP. 2005.** A demographic model explains life-history variation in *Arabis*
 495 *fecunda*. *Functional Ecology* 19:471-477.

496 **Levin RA, Wagner WL, Hoch PC, Hahn WJ, Rodriguez A, Baum DA, Katinas L, Zimmer EA,**
 497 **Sytsma KJ. 2004.** Paraphyly in tribe Onagreae: insights into phylogenetic
 498 relationships of Onagraceae based on nuclear and chloroplast sequence data.
 499 *Systematic Botany* 29: 147-164.

500 **Linsley EG, MacSwain JW, Raven PH. 1963a.** Comparative behavior of bees and
 501 Onagraceae. I. Oenothera bees of the Colorado Desert. *University of California*
 502 *Publications in Entomology* 33: 1-24.

503 **Linsley EG, MacSwain JW, Raven PH. 1963b.** Comparative behavior of bees and
 504 Onagraceae. II. Oenothera bees of the Great Basin. *University of California*
 505 *Publications in Entomology* 33: 25-58.

506 **Lloyd, DG. 1979.** Some reproductive factors affecting the evolution of self-fertilization in
 507 plants. *American Naturalist* 113: 67-79.

508 **Lloyd, DG. 1992.** Cross- and self-fertilization in plants. II. The selection of self-fertilization.
 509 *International Journal of Plant Sciences* 153: 370-380.

510 **Maddison, WP and Maddison DR. 2010.** Mesquite: a modular system for evolutionary
 511 analysis. Version 2.73. <http://mesquiteproject.org>.

512 **Martins WC, Hutchins CR. 1980.** A flora of New Mexico. Vaduz, Germany: J. Cramer.

513 **Memmott J, Craze PG, Waser NM, Price MV. 2007.** Global warming and the disruption
 514 of plant-pollinator interactions. *Ecology Letters* 10: 710-717.

515 **Meyer SE, Quinney D, Weaver J. 2006.** A stochastic population model for *Lepidium*
 516 *papilliferum* (Brassicaceae), a rare desert ephemeral with a persistent seed bank.
 517 *American Journal of Botany* 93: 891-902.

518 **Moldenke A. 1979.** Pollination ecology in the Sierra Nevada. *Phytologia* 42.

519 **Morgan MT, Schoen DJ, Bataillon TM. 1997.** The evolution of self fertilization in
 520 perennials. *American Naturalist* 150: 618-638.

521 **Morgan MT. 2001.** Consequences of life history for inbreeding depression and mating
 522 system evolution in plants. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B* 1478:
 523 1817-1824.

524 **Nagylaki T. 1976.** A model for the evolution of self-fertilization and vegetative
 525 reproduction. *Journal of Theoretical Biology* 58: 55-58.

526 **Nielsen R. 2002.** Mapping mutations on phylogenies. *Systematic Biology* 51: 729-739.

527 **Pagel M. 1994.** Detecting correlated evolution on phylogenies: a general method for
 528 the comparative analysis of discrete characters. *Proceedings of the Royal*
 529 *Society of London B* 255: 37-45.

530 **Pagel M, Meade A. 2006.** Bayesian analysis of correlated evolution of discrete
 531 characters by reversible-jump Markov chain Monte Carlo. *American Naturalist*
 532 167: 808-825.

533 **Pake CE, Venable DL. 1996.** Seed banks in desert annuals: implication for persistence
 534 and coexistence in variable environments. *Ecology* 77: 1427-1435.

535 **Pannell JR, Barrett SCH. 1998.** Baker's Law revisited: reproductive assurance in a
 536 metapopulation. *Evolution* 52: 657-668.

537 **Philippi T. 1993.** Bet-hedging germination of desert annuals: variation among
 538 populations and maternal effects in *Lepidium lasiocarpum*. *American Naturalist*
 539 142: 488-507.

540 **Philippi T, Seger J. 1989.** Hedging one's evolutionary bets, revisited. *Trends in Ecology*
 541 *and Evolution* 4: 41-44.

542 **Phillips SJ, Anderson RP, Shapire RE. 2006.** Maximum entropy modelling of species
 543 geographic distributions. *Ecological Modelling* 190: 231-259.

544 **Randle AM, Slyder JB, Kalisz S. 2009.** Can differences in autonomous selfing ability
 545 explain differences in range size among sister-taxa pairs of *Collinsia*
 546 (Plantaginaceae)? An extension of Baker's Law. *New Phytologist* 183: 618-629.

547 **Raven PH. 1979.** A survey of reproductive biology in Onagraceae. *New Zealand*
 548 *Journal of Botany* 17: 575-593.

549 **Ronquist F, Huelsenbeck JP. 2003.** MrBayes 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under
 550 mixed models. *Bioinformatics* 19: 1572-1574.

551 **Schemske DW, Bierzychudek P. 2001.** Perspective: Evolution of flower color in the desert
 552 annual *Linanthus parryae*: Wright revisited. *Evolution* 55: 1269-1282.

553 **Shimizu KK, Cork JM, Caicedo AL, Mays CA, Moore RC, Olsen KM, Ruzsa S, Coop G,**
 554 **Bustamante CD, Awadalla P et al. 2004.** Darwinian selection on a selfing locus.
 555 *Science* 306: 2081-2084.

556 **Stebbins GL. 1950.** Variation and evolution in plants. New York, USA: Columbia
 557 University Press.

558 **Stebbins GL. 1957.** Self fertilization and population variability in higher plants. *American*
 559 *Naturalist* 91: 337-354.

560 **Stebbins GL. 1974.** Flowering plants: evolution above the species level. London, UK:
 561 Edward Arnold Publishers.

562 **Steinbachs JE, Holsinger KE. 2002.** S-RNase-mediated gametophytic self-incompatibility
 563 is ancestral in eudicots. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 19:825-829.

564 **Swenson NG. 2008.** The past and future influence of geographic information systems on
 565 hybrid zone, phylogeographic and speciation research. *Journal of Evolutionary*
 566 *Biology* 21:421-434.

567 **Takebayashi N, Morrell PL. 2001.** Is self-fertilization an evolutionary dead-end? Revisiting
 568 an old hypothesis with genetic theories and a macroevolutionary approach.
 569 *American Journal of Botany* 88: 1143-1150.

570 **Tank DC, Olmstead RG. 2008.** From annuals to perennials: phylogeny of subtribe
 571 *Castillernae* (Orobanchaceae). *American Journal of Botany* 95: 608-625.

572 **Theiss K, Holsinger KE, Evans MEK. 2010.** Breeding systems of ten evening primroses
 573 (*Oenothera*, sections *Anogra* and *Kleinia*). *American Journal of Botany* 97: 1031-
 574 1039.

575 **Venable, DL. 2007.** Bet hedging in a guild of desert annuals. *Ecology* 88: 1086-1090.

576 **Venable DL, Pake CE. 1999.** Population ecology of Sonoran desert annual plants. In:
 577 Robichaux RH, ed. *Ecology of Sonoran Desert Plants and Plant Communities*.
 578 Tucson, USA: University of Arizona Press.

579 **Wagner WL, Hoch PC, Raven PH. 2007.** Revised classification of the Onagraceae.
 580 *Systematic Botany Monographs* 83: 1-240.

581 **Weber JJ, Goodwillie C. 2009.** Evolution of the mating system in a partially self-
 582 incompatible species: reproductive assurance and pollen limitation in

583 populations that differ in the timing of self-compatibility. *International Journal of*
584 *Plant Sciences* 170: 885-893.

585 **Weller SG, Donoghue MJ, Charlesworth D. 1995.** The evolution of self incompatibility in
586 flowering plants: a phylogenetic approach. *In*: Hoch PC, Stephenson AG, eds.
587 *Experimental and Molecular Approaches to Plant Biosystematics*. St. Louis, USA:
588 Missouri Botanical Garden, 355-382.

589 **Winkler M, Tribsch A, Paun O, Englisch T, Intra-BioDiv Consortium, Schonswetter P. 2010.**
590 Pleistocene distribution range shifts were accompanied by breeding system
591 divergence within *Hornungia alpina* (Brassicaceae) in the Alps. *Molecular*
592 *Phylogenetics and Evolution* 54: 571-582.

593 **Yang LH, Rudolf VHW. 2010.** Phenology, ontogeny and the effects of climate change
594 on the timing of species interactions. *Ecology Letters* 13: 1-10.

595 **Young TP, Augspurger CK. 1991.** Ecology and evolution of long-lived semelparous
596 plants. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 6: 285-289.

Supporting Information: Table S1

Figure Legends

Figure 1. (a) Bayesian majority-rule consensus tree for *Oenothera*, sections *Anogra* and *Kleinia* (from the all-compat command in MrBayes). Posterior probabilities indicate the support for each node. Branch lengths are proportional to sequence change. (b) Maximum a posteriori tree with character mapping of life history (white = perennial, blue = annual) and breeding system (white = self-incompatible, red = self-compatible). The character states at the root node were set to perennial, self-incompatible, and the backwards transition for breeding system was set at 0.001. At interior nodes, we show the posterior mean probability of each trait state for the most recent common ancestor that includes the descendent taxa below that node, thus summarizing across phylogenetic uncertainty (from simulations in BayesTraits). Asterics indicate taxa that are variable in life history or breeding system (see Table 1).

Figure 2. Relationship between climate and self-compatibility and inferred evolutionary history of climate in *Oenothera*, sections *Anogra* and *Kleinia*, with respect to (a) precipitation in the driest month and (b) minimum temperature in the coldest month. In the panels on the left, breeding systems of the extant taxa are shown as points (see Table 1), with variable taxa grouped with self-compatible taxa (BS1 coding scheme). Curves show the relationship between climate and self-incompatibility predicted by quadratic logistic regression. At right is the maximum *a posteriori* phylogeny (with equal branch lengths) drawn in climate space. Colors indicate strongly-supported

621 phylogenetic groups described in the Results; climate values for interior nodes were
622 reconstructed using least-squares parsimony.

Table 1. Life history (LH), breeding system (BS), and climate data for all taxa in sections *Anogra* and *Kleinia*, *Oenothera*, Onagraceae. Life history states are annual (A), biennial (B), and perennial (P). Breeding system states are self-incompatible (SI) and self-compatible (SC). Climate variables are precipitation in the driest month (Pd_{dry}), precipitation in the driest quarter (Pd_{dry}^{1/4}), mean temperature in the coldest quarter (T_{cold}^{1/4}), and minimum temperature in the coldest month (MinT).

Taxon	LH	BS	Pd _{dry} (cm)	Pd _{dry} ^{1/4} (cm)	T _{cold} ^{1/4} (deg C)	Min T (deg C)
<i>O. wigginsii</i>	A	SC	0	0.23	13.28	5.31
<i>O. deltooides</i> ssp. <i>cognata</i>	A/P	SC	0.05	0.42	8.58	1.74
<i>O. deltooides</i> ssp. <i>howellii</i>	P	SI	0.06	0.47	8.15	2.34
<i>O. deltooides</i> ssp. <i>deltooides</i>	A	SI/SC	0.07	0.57	12.17	4.07
<i>O. californica</i> ssp. <i>californica</i>	P	SI/SC	0.17	1.25	8.55	1.63
<i>O. arizonica</i>	A	SC	0.26	1.26	12.45	4.14
<i>O. californica</i> ssp. <i>eurekensis</i>	P	SI	0.52	2.10	4.03	-4.35
<i>O. deltooides</i> ssp. <i>ambigua</i>	A	SI	0.62	2.98	4.52	-3.07
<i>O. deltooides</i> ssp. <i>piperi</i>	A	SI	0.83	3.37	0.16	-7.09
<i>O. californica</i> ssp. <i>avita</i>	P	SI	0.84	3.48	2.51	-5.08
<i>O. pallida</i> ssp. <i>runcinata</i>	P	SI	0.89	3.41	1.96	-6.86
<i>O. albicaulis</i>	A	SI	0.98	3.65	0.82	-7.80
<i>O. pallida</i> ssp. <i>pallida</i>	P	SI/SC	1.08	4.16	-0.82	-7.87
<i>O. pallida</i> ssp. <i>latifolia</i>	P	SI	1.11	3.85	-2.74	-11.46
<i>O. engelmannii</i>	A	SI	1.13	4.07	5.24	-3.96
<i>O. neomexicana</i>	P	SC	1.15	4.55	2.12	-6.66
<i>O. nuttallii</i>	P	SC	1.19	4.07	-5.91	-13.98
<i>O. pallida</i> ssp. <i>trichocalyx</i>	P/B/ A	SI	1.22	4.16	-5.03	-13.26
<i>O. coronopifolia</i>	P	SI/SC	1.30	4.65	-2.64	-11.16

Figure 1. (a) Bayesian majority-rule consensus tree for *Oenothera*, sections *Anogra* and *Kleinia* (from the all-compat command in MrBayes). Posterior probabilities indicate the support for each node. Branch lengths are proportional to sequence change. (b) Maximum a posteriori tree with character mapping of life history (white = perennial, blue = annual) and breeding system (white = self-incompatible, red = self-compatible). The character states at the root node were set to perennial, self-incompatible, and the backwards transition for breeding system was set at 0.001. At interior nodes, we show the posterior mean probability of each trait state for the most recent common ancestor that includes the descendent taxa below that node, thus summarizing across phylogenetic uncertainty (from simulations in BayesTraits). Asterisks indicate taxa that are variable in life history or breeding system (see Table 1).



