

## **University of Connecticut [OpenCommons@UConn](https://opencommons.uconn.edu?utm_source=opencommons.uconn.edu%2Fplsc_articles%2F10&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)**

[Plant Science Articles](https://opencommons.uconn.edu/plsc_articles?utm_source=opencommons.uconn.edu%2Fplsc_articles%2F10&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) [Department of Plant Science](https://opencommons.uconn.edu/plsc?utm_source=opencommons.uconn.edu%2Fplsc_articles%2F10&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

January 2005

# Turfgrass Reflectance Measurements, Chlorophyll, and Soil Nitrate Desorbed from Anion Exchange Membranes

Salvatore S. Mangiafico

Karl Guillard *University of Connecticut Department of Plant Science*, karl.guillard@uconn.edu

Follow this and additional works at: [https://opencommons.uconn.edu/plsc\\_articles](https://opencommons.uconn.edu/plsc_articles?utm_source=opencommons.uconn.edu%2Fplsc_articles%2F10&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

### Recommended Citation

Mangiafico, Salvatore S. and Guillard, Karl, "Turfgrass Reflectance Measurements, Chlorophyll, and Soil Nitrate Desorbed from Anion Exchange Membranes" (2005). *Plant Science Articles*. 10. [https://opencommons.uconn.edu/plsc\\_articles/10](https://opencommons.uconn.edu/plsc_articles/10?utm_source=opencommons.uconn.edu%2Fplsc_articles%2F10&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

## **Turfgrass Reflectance Measurements, Chlorophyll, and Soil Nitrate Desorbed from Anion Exchange Membranes**

Salvatore S. Mangiafico and Karl Guillard\*

AEM soil NO<sub>3</sub>-N, above which turfgrass color did not improve, from  $0.31$  to  $0.43 \mu$ g cm<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> 0.31 to 0.43  $\mu$ g cm<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>. These models suggest that critical levels Studies reporting results for the use of AEMs to assess of soil NO<sub>3</sub>-N could be determined that maximize turfgrass quality available NO<sub>3</sub>-N in t

**ABSTRACT** 1999) in a variety of locations and soil types. The AEM **There is not extensive research on the potential of anion exchange** technique, however, may be more sensitive than tradi**membranes (AEMs) for determining available N in soils of turfgrass** tional extractions to treatment differences at low soil systems, nor on the use of reflectance meters for quantifying turfgrass NO<sub>3</sub>–N concentrations (Pare et al., 1995; Wander et al., **color. The two objectives of this study were to determine relationships** 1995). Studies have reported AEM desorbed NO<sub>3</sub>–N to between (i) turfgrass color measurements and soil nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub>–N) be related to N uptake in f **between (i) turfgrass color measurements and soil nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub>–N)** be related to N uptake in forage grasses (Ziadi et al., desorbed from AEMs and (ii) reflectance meter measurements and 1999) and in canola (*Brassica na* desorbed from AEMs and (ii) reflectance meter measurements and<br>turfgrass chlorophyll concentration. A field experiment was conducted<br>on a 90% Kentucky bluegrass (*Poa pratensis* L.) stand across 2 yr.<br>Anion exchange membr **color and chlorophyll measurements were taken monthly. Reflectance** and quadratic response plateau relationships.<br> **meter measurements were significantly related to chlorophyll concen-** Critical levels of AEM desorbed NO<sub></sub> **tration. Linear response plateau models suggested critical levels of** there was no further increase in yield, were typically between 0.5 and 4  $\mu$ g cm<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-</sup>

**of soil NO<sub>3</sub>–N could be determined that maximize turfgrass quality** available NO<sub>3</sub>–N in turfgrass systems are limited. Kopp without excessive N application. These findings suggest both AEMs and Guillard (2002) in a fiel without excessive N application. These findings suggest both AEMs and Guillard (2002) in a field experiment on home lawn<br>and hand-held reflectance meters could be useful tools for N manage-<br>ment in turfgrass.<br>quadratic res dry yields to AEM desorbed soil  $NO<sub>3</sub>–N$  by harvest pe-<br>riod. Critical values of soil  $NO<sub>3</sub>–N$ , above which there THERE IS CONCERN about NO<sub>3</sub>-N losses from managed<br>turf areas because of negative environmental and<br>human heath effects of NO<sub>3</sub>-N in surface and ground<br>for quadratic response plateau models of visual turf qual- $2 d^{-1}$ waters. Turf areas are often fertilized according to pre-<br>determined schedules, or fertilization is guided by turf<br>quality. It would be desirable, however, to manage N fer-<br>initial results for a relationship between tissu  $2 d^{-1}$ 

determined scheution is guided by uting and the computer and the computer and the detination in response to manage N fer-<br>initial results for a relationship between tissues. No murdicular the detailed by N. Managing N fer-

Tristimulus chroma meters and chlorophyll reflec-The User of Plant Science, Unit 4067, University of Connecticut, 1376<br>Storrs Road, Storrs, CT 06269-4067. Received 17 Dec. 2003. \*Corre-<br>grass color quickly and reliably and report quantitative, Storrs Road, Storrs, CT 06269-4067. Received 17 Dec. 2003. \*Corre- grass color quickly and reliably and report quantitative, sponding author (karl.guillard@uconn.edu). bijective results. Chroma meters measure whole color objective results. Chroma meters measure whole color.

Published in Crop Sci. 45:259-265 (2005).<br>© Crop Science Society of America

Abbreviations: AEM, anion exchange membrane; CIE, Commission 677 S. Segoe Rd., Madison, WI 53711 USA Internationale de l'Eclairage.

in a number of coordinate systems, including the Com- **MATERIALS AND METHODS** mission Internationale de l'Eclairage (CIE) 1976 L\*, a\*,<br>
b\* system (Hunter, 1975). These color parameters may<br>
be converted to the more intuitive hue, chroma, and light-<br>
mess measurements (McGuire, 1992). Because more d surements may be considered indirect measurements of soil. The entire site was topped with 20 cm of commercial physiological parameters, including chlorophyll content topsoil to mimic the soil profile of a newly constructed home<br>in apple (Malus domestica Borkh), grape (Vitis labrusca lawn. The topsoil was graded by hand and rolled, in apple (*Malus domestica* Borkh), grape (*Vitis labrusca* lawn. The topsoil was graded by hand and rolled, and commer-<br>L. and peach (*Prunus persica* L.) (Singha and Townsend cially grown sod was applied. The sub soil ha L.), and peach (*Prunus persica* L.) (Singha and Townsend, cially grown sod was applied. The sub soil had a texture of loamy sand, a pH<sub>(1:1)</sub> of 5.7, 14 g kg<sup>-1</sup> organic matter by dry com-<br>1980): chlorophyll concentratio 1989); chlorophyll concentration in vegetable skins (Lan-<br>
1989); chlorophyll concentration in vegetable skins (Lan-<br>
bustion (Ball, 1964), and 8.0 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> NO<sub>3</sub>-N by 2 M KCl extrac-1989); chlorophyll concentration in vegetable skins (Lan-<br>caster et al., 1997); chlorophyll concentration in water-<br>caster et al., 1997); chlorophyll concentration in water-<br>cress (*Nasturtium officinale* R. Br.) (Meir et (Graeff et al., 2001). grass and 10% creeping red fescue (*Festuca rubra* L.) mixture.

Few studies have reported results of using chroma metive correlation of CIE hue and subjective color assessment across evaluators and cultivars, and a negative cor-

ing, Inc., Tokyo, Japan); however, while the SPAD mesurements on individual leaves, using algorithms similar to that employed by the Spectrum meter, have been correlated to chlorophyll concentration, visual quality, and<br>tissue N in St. Augustinegrass [*Stenotaphrum seconda-*<br>tum (Walt.) Kuntze] (Rodriguez and Miller, 2000), and<br>t

for turfgrass color. This objective is similar to that pro-<br>posed by Kopp and Guillard (2002), except the present The methodology used to prepare and process AEMs folcability of reflectance meters in optimizing turf quality. in deionized water until use.

sand, a pH<sub>(1,1)</sub> of 5.9, 19 g kg<sup>-1</sup> organic matter, and 9.4 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> 1 NO<sub>3</sub>–N. The site was then sodded with a 90% Kentucky blue-

49 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> on each of two applications, one in early May ters on turf. Landschoot and Mancino (2000) reported<br>results of chroma meter measurements in situ on bent-<br>results of chroma meter measurements in situ on bent-<br>fertilizer. This fertilizer had 9% of N as NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, 74% as grass cultivars (*Agrostis stolonifera* L., *A. capillaris* L.).<br>They reported that the chroma meter was sensitive to<br>cultivar differences. Additionally, they reported a posi-<br>following dates: 15 September, 15 October, 15  $N$  ha<sup> $-1$ </sup> of a 10-7-17 commercial lawn fertilizer on one of the following dates: 15 September, 15 October, 15 November, 15 December, or received no fall fertilizer. This fertilizer had  $60\%$  of N as NH<sup>+</sup> and 40% as urea. This fertilization plan resulted in each fall-fertilized plot receiving  $147 \text{ kg N}$   $\text{ha}^{-1}$ relation of CIE chroma and subjective color assessment.<br>The Spectrum CM 1000 (Spectrum Technologies, Inc.<br>that received no fall fertilizer received additional P as triple <sup>1</sup> and each control plot receiving 98 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> Plainfield, IL) is a hand-held chlorophyll reflectance me-<br>ter. It works on a similar principle to the SPAD meter<br>(Soil-Plant Analysis Development, Konica Minolta Hold-<br>were mowed weekly during the growing season to a heig (Soil-Plant Analysis Development, Konica Minolta Hold-<br>
ing. Inc., Tokvo. Japan): however, while the SPAD me-<br>
4.5 cm and clippings remained on the plots. Plots were irrigated at a rate of  $2.5 \text{ cm} \text{ wk}^{-1}$ ter requires a leaf width of at least 2 mm, the Spectrum at a rate of 2.5 cm  $wk^{-1}$  from May to September in addition<br>meter is applicable for use on the canony of fine-leafed to receiving natural precipitation. Dolomitic meter is applicable for use on the canopy of fine-leafed to receiving natural precipitation. Dolomitic limestone was<br>turf stands. The shility to make canopy measurements all applied as needed to maintain a  $pH_{(1,1)}$  of 6 turf stands. The ability to make canopy measurements al-<br>lows for a measurement that assesses a wider area and<br>integrates many leaf surfaces. Reflectance meter mea-<br> $(2.4$ -dichlorophenoxyacetic acid).

et al., 2000). Measuring canopy reflectance, Trenholm throughout the year, whenever the ground was not frozen and et al. (1999) found linear correlations between visual not covered with snow. Strips were inserted and colle quality and visual density and an index of reflected light 26 March to 24 Dec. 2001, and from 25 Feb. to 25 Nov. 2002.<br>in seashore paspalum (*Paspalum vaginatum* Swartz) and A slit was made into the soil at an angle of app in seashore paspalum (*Paspalum vaginatum* Swartz) and<br>bermudagrass (*Cynodon dactylon* L.  $\times$  *C. transvaalensis* from vertical with a mason's trowel and an AEM strip was<br>putt Dermi Burtt-Davy).<br>
One purpose of this study was to explore the relation-<br>
Ship between soil N measured with AEMs and turfgrass<br>
color to estimate optimum soil NO<sub>3</sub>-N concentrations<br>
for turfgrass color. This objective is sim

posed by Kopp and Guillard (2002), except the present The methodology used to prepare and process AEMs fol-<br>study was conducted with a different species composi-<br>lowed that of Kopp and Guillard (2002) and Collins and Allin study was conducted with a different species composi-<br>tion, soil type, and includes the use reflectance meters<br>to quantify turf color. Another purpose was to explore<br>the relationship among turfgrass reflectance measure-<br>m ized water, saturating with  $Cl^-$  ions by shaking for 2 h in 1  $M$ NaCl, and rinsing again in deionized water. Strips were stored with deionized water and placed individually in 60-mL HDPE bottles containing 25 mL of 1  $M$  NaCl. These bottles were a retention range of 8 to 12  $\mu$ m (Schleicher and Schuell, Keene, rophyll concentration was calculated (Inskeep and Bloom, 1985).<br>NH). Extracts were fixed with H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and stored at 4°C for up Measurements with the Spe NH). Extracts were fixed with  $H_2SO_4$  and stored at 4°C for up to 28 d. Extracts were analyzed for NO<sub>3</sub>–N on a Scientific Instruments continuous flow analyzer (WESTCO, Danbury, CT)

arranged in the stack and another color measurement was **Statistical Analyses** taken. This was repeated for four measurements for each plot. Values of L\*, a\*, and b\* were averaged per plot and converted Color and yield measurements for each treatment were to hue, lightness, and chroma values (McGuire, 1992). plotted against the most recent in situ measurement of avail-

Upon removal from plots, the AEMs were rinsed lightly Chlorophyll concentration measurements in leaf tissue were<br>the deionized water and placed individually in 60-mL HDPE taken from March to November in 2001 and 2002. For bottles containing 25 mL of 1 *M* NaCl. These bottles were surement, leaf blades from a small section of a plot were clipped transported immediately to the lab and shaken for 1 h in their and collected. Chlorophyll extract transported immediately to the lab and shaken for 1 h in their and collected. Chlorophyll extraction was performed on intact, individual bottles to desorb  $NO_3$ –N from the AEMs. The resul-<br>fresh leaf tissue and N,N-dimeth fresh leaf tissue and *N*,*N*-dimethylformamide. Extracts were tant extracts were filtered through soil analysis papers having measured spectrophotometrically at 647 and 664.5 nm and chlo-

chlorophyll meter were taken from September to December in 2001 and from March to November in 2002. Measurements using the Griess-Ilosvay method (Keeney and Nelson, 1982). were not made between March and August in 2001 only because the meter was not available at this time. Ten measurements were **Color and Yield Measurements** taken and averaged per plot. All measurements were taken in full sun between 1100 and 1300 h with the meter facing away Color measurements of leaf blades were taken from March<br>to November in 2001 and 2002. For each measurement, leaf<br>blades were clipped from a small section of a plot and laid<br>flat into an optically dense stack. A color measu



AEM desorbed NO<sub>3</sub>-N, µg cm<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>

Fig. 1. Linear response plateau plots of turfgrass color and yield measurements to NO<sub>3</sub>–N desorbed from AEMs across two growing seasons. **Data are shown for AEMs used in situ at a depth of 0 to 7.6 cm. Vertical lines to the** *x***-axes in the plots indicate the critical level of AEM**desorbed NO<sub>3</sub>–N, above which there is no change in turfgrass color to increasing AEM-desorbed NO<sub>3</sub>–N. No linear plateau model is shown **for dry matter yield because the model is not applicable.**





**† Equal to {1** - **[(sum of squares for residuals)/(corrected total sum of squares)]}.**

**‡ Model not applicable. Model assumption of homoscedasticity violated.**

able soil  $NO_3$ – $N$ , and linear response plateau models were for season or environmental conditions, these pseudo- $R^2$  generated for data across two growing seasons. These linear values represent reasonable predictive po

Turfgrass color measurements were plotted against other Kentucky bluegrass turf without excess N application.<br>Color measurements of the same date and an appropriate linear The establishment of critical levels would allow t color measurements of the same date and an appropriate linear

Statistically significant  $(p < 0.01)$  linear response pla-<br>teau models relating color measurements to AEM de-<br>**Turfgrass Responses and Experimental Design** models were generated for each color measurement but applicable because of the data's violation of the model's

generated for data across two growing seasons. These linear<br>plateau models fit a line of changing crop response with in-<br>creasing soil NO<sub>3</sub>-N when soil NO<sub>3</sub>-N is below some critical<br>value. Above this critical value, the

or nonlinear model was generated for the pooled data of two managers to perform a soil  $NO<sub>3</sub>–N$  test employing the growing seasons. Because the experiment was conducted as a AEM technique, and then apply N fertilizer growing seasons. Because the experiment was conducted as a AEM technique, and then apply N fertilizer only if the replicated experiment, treatment averages were used for all desorbed AEM NO<sub>2</sub>–N value were below that criti replicated experiment, treatment averages were used for all desorbed AEM NO<sub>3</sub>–N value were below that critical models (Gomez and Gomez, 1984). Linear, linear response pla-<br>value or range of values. More studies, however, models (Gomez and Gomez, 1984). Linear, linear response planet and nonlinear models were generated by the NLIN and<br>
REG procedures of the Statistical Analysis Software package<br>
(SAS Institute, 1999). All models were checke Tabachnick and Fidell, 2001). Although the experiment was<br>conducted as a replicated experiment, treatment effects are<br>not relevant to this study and are not reported.<br>more useful as a soil test at one time during the growi more useful as a soil test at one time during the growing season or used throughout the growing season as an **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION** also how AEM critical levels should be adjusted for sea-**Turfgrass Quality and Desorbed NO<sub>3</sub>–N** sonal or other environmental effects. These questions **from AEMs** should be addressed with future research. should be addressed with future research.

sorbed  $NO<sub>3</sub>–N$  were generated (Fig. 1). Linear plateau It is important to note that by the design of this experi-<br>models were generated for each color measurement but ment N fertilization rates were similar among tre not for clipping yield (for which the model was not and there was not a wide range of soil  $NO<sub>3</sub>–N$  concentra-<br>applicable because of the data's violation of the model's tions for many sampling dates during the growing assumption of homoscedasticity). Model parameters are son. Amounts of N fertilizer applied annually did not vary presented in Table 1. Among color measurements, criti- greatly among plots. Specifically, throughout the spring cal levels of AEM desorbed  $NO_3$ –N ranged from 0.31 and summer, soil  $NO_3$ –N concentrations were similar to 0.43  $\mu$ g cm<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>. The range of these critical levels across all plots. Soil  $NO_3$ –N concentrations tended to 0.43  $\mu$ g cm<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>. The range of these critical levels across all plots. Soil NO<sub>3</sub>–N concentrations tended to overlap with the range of critical levels for visual quality be highest during August and September (Fig. 2), probratings on mixed-species turfgrass plots presented by ably from increased mineralization during higher soil Kopp and Guillard (2002), although in general the criti-<br>temperatures. The highest color values, however, tended cal values of the present study are lower. This difference to occur in April and May, when conditions were ideal might be attributable to the difference in species compo- for cool-season turfgrass growth, but soil  $NO_3-N$  consition of the two studies. Kopp and Guillard (2002) used centrations were relatively low. These high color mea-35% Kentucky bluegrass, 35% creeping red fescue, and surements are visible in Fig. 1 as data points above the 30% perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne* L.). Pseudo- $R^2$  plateau at soil NO<sub>3</sub>–N concentrations below critical lev-<br>values for linear plateau models of the present study els. The largest contemporaneous differences in so els. The largest contemporaneous differences in soil ranged from 0.10 to 0.44 (Table 1). Considering that  $NO<sub>3</sub>–N$  occurred in September and October (Fig. 2), these models compared frequent color measurements to probably as a result of the different timing of fall fertilsoil  $NO<sub>3</sub>–N$  values across two growing seasons, and that izer application. Because of the design of the experiment, these values were not averaged across time or adjusted these models do not necessarily predict the h these models do not necessarily predict the highest turf



assumed to be linear plateau relationships. The others were assumed to be curvilinear. For curvilinear relationships, in the absence of any theoretical models governing quality measurements, a general power relationship  $(y = a + bx^c)$  was employed as an empirical model (Table 2).

Measurements from both reflectance meters employed in this study—the Minolta chroma meter and the Spectrum chlorophyll meter—were significantly related to chlorophyll concentration measurements (Fig. 3, A, B, D; Table 2). Considering measurements from the chroma meter, CIE chroma was unrelated to chlorophyll concentration, while both CIE lightness and CIE hue were related to chlorophyll with linear plateau relationships. Fig. 2. Mean AEM desorbed soil NO<sub>3</sub>-N for fall-fertilized treatments<br>versus sampling date. Data is presented for turfgrass across two<br>growing seasons.<br>centrations above some critical value, about 3.4 mg g<sup>-1</sup><br>centrations

color values for any specific date. However, they do sug-<br>gest that for most of the growing season, turf color would<br>not be improved with soil NO<sub>3</sub>-N concentrations be-<br>yond the indicated critical levels.<br>yond the indicat **Relationships Among Turfgrass** meter were made on plots when chlorophyll concentra-<br>Quality Measurements<br>lationship was found between measurements from the Significant bivariate relationships were found between Spectrum meter and clipping yield measurements (Ta-<br>some pairs of turfgrass quality measurements (Fig. 3). ble 2). This relationship suggests that the Spectrum meble 2). This relationship suggests that the Spectrum me-Parameters for these relationships are shown in Table 2. ter measurements indicate not only chlorophyll concen-Relationships that appeared to be either curvilinear or tration in leaf tissue but also possibly the density of leaf linear plateau models were initially modeled as two-<br>blades in the sampled turf canopy. This suggestion i blades in the sampled turf canopy. This suggestion is linear-segment models (data not shown). Those mea-<br>surements with models in which the slope of the second tance to be related to the product of chlorophyll content tance to be related to the product of chlorophyll content segment was not statistically different from zero were and leaf area in the canopy of corn plants and by Tren-



**Fig. 3. Relationships among color measurements on turfgrass across two growing seasons. Linear response plateau models are shown for plots A and B. Power relationships are shown for plots D, E, and F. No model is shown for plot C because no significant model was found.**

**Table 2. Significant relationships among turfgrass color and yield measurements. Number of observations (***N***), probability value (***p***),** coefficient of determination (*r*<sup>2</sup>), pseudo-coefficient of determination (pseudo- $R^2$ )†, *x*-axis critical value (*CL*), and model parameters (a, b, c). Units are CIE hue (degrees), chlorophyll concentration (mg  $g^{-1}$ ), and dry matter clipping yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>). All other **measurements without units.**

<i>x</i> -axis quality measure	<i>y</i> -axis quality measure	$\boldsymbol{N}$	p	pseudo- $R^2$	$\boldsymbol{a}$	b	$\mathbf{c}$
			General power relationship $(y = a + bx^c)$				
<b>Spectrum</b> units	<b>CIE</b> hue	110	< 0.0001	0.932	135	$-66,400$	$-1.48$
<b>Spectrum</b> units	<b>CIE</b> lightness	110	< 0.0001	0.845	36.8	42 800	$-1.64$
<b>Spectrum</b> units	chlorophyll concentration	<b>110</b>	< 0.0001	0.785	4.90	$-46.2$	$-0.58$
<i>x</i> -axis quality measure	<i>y</i> -axis quality measure	N	p	pseudo- $R^2$	CL	a	h
	Linear plateau relationship (if $x \leq CL$ , then $y = a + bx$ ; else $y = a + b(CL)$ )						
<b>Chlorophyll concentration</b>	<b>CIE</b> hue	140	< 0.0001	0.764	3.38	46.8	23.4
<b>Chlorophyll concentration</b>	<b>CIE</b> lightness	140	< 0.0001	0.703	3.42	62.9	$-6.99$
$x$ -axis quality measure	<i>y</i> -axis quality measure	N	p	$r^2$		$\boldsymbol{a}$	h
			Linear regression $(y = a + bx)$				
<b>Spectrum units</b>	dry matter yield	65	0.0005	0.176		$-7.03$	0.0779
<b>CIE</b> hue	<b>CIE</b> lightness	140	< 0.0001	0.879		77.1	$-0.301$

**† Equal to {1** - **[(sum of squares for residuals)/(corrected total sum of squares)]}.**

holm et al. (1999) who found a linear correlation be-<br>applicability of reflectance meters across a variety of turf sity. This relationship may be considered an advantage

of the use of the Spectrum meter in turfgrass applications.<br>
Cantly related to CIE hue and CIE lightness from the<br>
cantly related to CIE hue and CIE lightness from the<br>
chroma meter (Fig. 3, E, F; Table 2). These data sugg

Both reflectance meters employed in this study—the branes to assess nitrogen needs of Minolta chroma meter and the Spectrum chlorophyll me-<br>Neil Scientise, Y. M. Teatitis, 2012 P. 202 Desjardins, Y., M. Tardif, and R.R. Simard. 1998. Determination of<br>ter—provided reliable indications of chlorophyll concentration in turfgrass leaves throughout growing sea-<br> $In$  Agronomy Abstr. ASA, CSSA, and SSSA, Madison centration in turfgrass leaves throughout growing seasons and across years. Both meters were fairly quick Gomez, K.A., and A.A. Gomez. 1984. Statistical procedures for agand easy to use. The Minolta chroma meter was some-<br>
icultural research, 2nd ed. John Wiley & Sons, New Y and easy to use. The Minolta chroma meter was some-<br>what more time consuming to use because it required Graeff, S., S. Diedrich, and S. Schubert. 2001. Use of reflectance what more time consuming to use because it required<br>clipping leaf blades, arranging them into a stack, taking<br>a measurement, and then repeating the process. Land-<br>Hunter, R.S. 1975. The measurement of appearance. John Wil schoot and Mancino (2000), however, were able to use Sons, New York.<br>
Sons, New York.<br>
Inskeep, W.P., and P.R. Bloom. 1985. Extinction coefficients of chloro-Additionally, the chroma meter sampled only a very phyll *a* and *b* in N,N  $_{\text{break}}$  and 80% action and 80% action and 80% action and 80% action and 80% access a small collection of leaf blades at a time. The Spectrum<br>meter, in contrast, was able to sample a larger area of<br>turf canopy without disturbing the turf. The Spectrum<br>2nd ed., Part 2, Chemical and microbiological properties turf canopy without disturbing the turf. The Spectrum meter does not have its own light source, but relies on SSSA, Madison, WI.<br>incident light and adjusts its reported values to the level Kopp, K.L., and K. Guillard. 2002. Relationship of turfgrass growth incident light and adjusts its reported values to the level<br>of incident light. In this study, seasonal changes in light<br>distribution of the spectrum meter. The data from this study suggest<br>the Spectrum meter. The data from that hand-held reflectance meters could be used to guide and vegetables. J. Am. Soc. Hortic. Sci. 122:594–598.<br>N management. If plateau values for any reflectance Landschoot, P.J., and C.F. Mancino. 2000. A comparison of v meter measurement could be established, N would be instrumental measurement of color differences in benefits turf. applied only on those turf areas yielding significantly<br>lower measurement values. Perhaps the best way to<br>lower measurement values. Perhaps the best way to<br>tance and field greenness to assess nitrogen fertilization and yie establish these plateau values would be, on a site-specific of maize. Agron. J. 88:915–920.<br>
basis. to establish small areas under a range of fertility McGuire, R.G. 1992. Reporting of objective color measurements. basis, to establish small areas under a range of fertility McGuire, R.G. 1992. Report regimes, against which to compare other turf areas. HortScience 27:1254-1255. Those areas with reflectance meter values significantly<br>below that of the high fertility area would require addi-<br>below that of the high fertility area would require addi-<br>a tristimulus reflectance colorimeter. Postharvest tional fertilization. Future study, however, is needed to 2:117–124. determine the viability of this approach as well as the Mulvaney, R.L. 1996. Nitrogen—Inorganic forms. p. 1123–1184. *In*

tween canopy reflectance in some grasses and visual den- species and environmental conditions and management.

- 
- that the measurements from the Spectrum meter may describing corn yield response to nitrogen fertilizer. Agron. J. 82:<br>be considered an index of leaf hue and darkness, as well 138–143.
- as chlorophyll concentration.<br>
Roth reflectance meters employed in this study—the branes to assess nitrogen needs of perennial grasslands. Commun.
	-
	-
	-
	- Hunter, R.S. 1975. The measurement of appearance. John Wiley &
- this meter in situ on fine-textured, low-cut bentgrass. Inskeep, W.P., and P.R. Bloom. 1985. Extinction coefficients of chloro-<br>Additionally the chroma meter sampled only a very phyll *a* and *b* in N.N-dimethylformamide a
	-
	-
	-
- N management. If plateau values for any reflectance Landschoot, P.J., and C.F. Mancino. 2000. A comparison of visual vs.<br>meter measurement could be established N would be instrumental measurement of color differences in be
	-
	-
	-
	-

- Pare, T., E.G. Gregorich, and B.H. Elliot. 1995. Comparison of soil nitrate extracted by potassium chloride and adsorbed on an anion exchange membrane *in situ.* Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal. 26: 4th ed. Allyn and Bacon. Boston, MA. 883–898. Tarpley, L., K.R. Reddy, and G.F. Sassenrath-Cole. 2000. Reflectance
- tion of *Poa pratensis* cultivars. J. Sports Turf Res. Inst. 66:134–140. gen concentration. Crop Sci. 40:1814–1819.<br>an, P., J.J. Schoenanu, and W.Z. Huang. 1992. Use of ion exchange Trenholm, L.E., R.N. Carrow, and R.R. Du
- Qian, P., J.J. Schoenanu, and W.Z. Huang. 1992. Use of ion exchange 23:1791–1804.<br>
Rodriguez, I.R., and G.L. Miller. 2000. Using a chlorophyll meter to Wander, M.M., D.V. McCracken,
- and visual quality of St. Augustinegrass. HortScience 35:751–754. ment impactually ment impact and solid new sol

SAS Institute Inc. 1999. SAS Online Doc, version 8. Cary, NC. Singha, S., and E.C. Townsend. 1989. Relationship between chroma-

ticity values and chlorophyll concentration in apple, grape, and of anion exchange membranes as a peach leaves. HortScience 24:1034. peach leaves. HortScience 24:1034.

- D.L. Sparks (ed.). Methods of soil analysis, Part 3, Chemical meth-<br>ods. ASA and SSSA, Madison, WI.<br>membranes to measure soil nitrate availability and net nitrification. membranes to measure soil nitrate availability and net nitrification.<br>Soil Biol. Biochem. 27:911-917.
	- Tabachnick, B.G., and S.F. Fidell. 2001. Using multivariate statistics,
- Pocklington, T.E., J.D. Butler, and T.K. Hodges. 1974. Color evalua-<br>
indices with precision and accuracy in predicting cotton leaf nitro-<br>
indices with precision and accuracy in predicting cotton leaf nitro-<br>
1974. Color
	- membranes in routine soil testing. Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal. of multispectral radiometry data to qualitative data in turfgrass<br>23:1791–1804. Crop Sci. 39:763–769.
	- driguez, I.R., and G.L. Miller. 2000. Using a chlorophyll meter to Wander, M.M., D.V. McCracken, L.M. Shuman, J.W. Johnson, and determine the chlorophyll concentration, nitrogen concentration, J.E. Box. 1995. Anion-exchang J.E. Box. 1995. Anion-exchange membranes used to assess manage-<br>ment impacts on soil nitrate. Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal. 26:
		- Ziadi, N., R.R. Simard, G. Allard, and J. Lafond. 1999. Field evaluation of anion exchange membranes as a N soil testing method for grass-